



March 31, 2026

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Stormwater Permits Team Leader (MC-148)
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Phase II MS4 Annual Report Transmittal for City of College Station
TPDES Authorization: TXR040008

Dear Team Leader:

This letter serves to transmit the required annual report for the Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System General Permit, Authorization Number TXR040008 for the City of College Station.

The annual report is for Year 1 (select the appropriate number 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5). The reporting period's beginning month/day/year and ending month/day/year.

A separate Notice of Change has not been submitted based on the fact that changes have not been proposed for the next permit year.

As required by the general permit, a copy of the report has been mailed to the TCEQ's regional office 9 in Waco, Texas.

Sincerely,

David Vaughn, Engineering Program Specialist

Phase II (Small) MS4 Annual Report Form

2024 TPDES General Permit Number TXR040000

- This annual report paper form is a temporary substitute for the electronic online NeT-MS4 system. Once the NeT-MS4 Annual Report module is available annual reports must be submitted electronically instead of hard copy using this form (TCEQ-20561).

A. General Information

Authorization Number: TXR040008

Reporting Year (year will be either 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5): 1

Reporting period beginning date: (month/date/year) 8-19-2025

Reporting period end date: (month/date/year) 12-31-2025

MS4 Operator Level: 4 Name of MS4: City of College Station

Contact Name: David Vaughn Telephone Number: 979-764-6375

Mailing Address: 1101 Texas Avenue, College Station, TX, 77840

E-mail Address: dvaughn@cstx.gov

A copy of the annual report was submitted to the TCEQ Region: YES X NO ____

Region the annual report was submitted to: TCEQ Region 9

B. Status of Compliance with the MS4 GP and SWMP

1. Provide information on the status of complying with permit conditions:
(TXR040000 Part IV.B.2)

	Yes	No	Explain
Permittee is currently in compliance with the SWMP as required in the 2024 Phase II MS4 General Permit and certified in the approved NOI.	X		
Permittee is currently in compliance with recordkeeping and reporting requirements.	X		
Permittee meets the eligibility requirements of the permit (e.g., TMDL requirements, Edwards Aquifer limitations, compliance history, etc.).	X		
Permittee conducted an annual review of its SWMP in conjunction with preparation of the annual report.	X		

2. Provide a general assessment of the appropriateness of the selected BMPs. You may use the table below to meet this requirement (**see Example 1 in instructions**)

Please note, the BMPs that you report here must match the BMPs selected in NeT-MS4 on your approved Notice of Intent (NOI):

MCM(s)	BMP	BMP is appropriate for reducing the discharge of pollutants in stormwater (Answer Yes or No and explain)
1	1.1 Information on the MS4 Operator's Website	Yes, informing the public leads to greater awareness and more reporting of illicit discharges.
1	1.2 Social Media Posts, Social Media Campaign	Yes, providing this information educates the public on how to take action to reduce stormwater pollution.

1	1.3 Media/Advertising Campaign/Public Service Announcements in Areas of High Visibility: Television	Yes, airing the City's "Scoop the Poop" ad on television every day ensures high visibility.
1	1.4 Fact sheets/Brochures/ Utility Bill Inserts/Door Hangers	Yes, educational material was maintained at 3 locations in the City.
1	1.5 Targeted Education Campaign via Mail, Email, or in Person	Yes, this form of education is effective. We usually receive feedback that leads to further communication and education.
2	2.1 Stream/Lake or Watershed Clean-up Events; Litter/Trash Clean-up Events: Adopt-A-Greenway and Adopt-A-Street	Yes, these programs allow volunteers to participate in cleaning up and beautifying the City's open spaces and roadways. Litter collection in greenway spaces and roadways directly reduces the amount of pollution that enters streams.
2	2.2 Habitat Improvement and Stream Restoration: Adopt-A-Greenway	Yes, this program allows volunteers to clean up City parks and greenways, which directly reduces the amount of pollution that enters streams.
2	2.3 Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring	Yes, the volunteer monitor program provides additional protection and surveillance of local waterways within the City. Volunteer monitoring invites citizens to participate in keeping local creeks clean by monitoring areas for polluted stormwater or unexpected runoff.
2	2.4 Educational Display/Booth	Yes, this allows for many in-person educational opportunities in a short time.
3	3.1 Maintain a Current and Accurate MS4 Map	Yes, maintaining maps that contain current storm sewer, sanitary sewer infrastructure, water bodies, SSOs, and leaks allows for quick responses to reports related to stormwater concerns. Mapping also assists with the future planning of new and existing development throughout the

3	3.2 Conduct Training for all Field Staff	Yes, staff are trained to identify illicit discharges and connections, leaking OSSFs, and illegal dumping. Staff training allows for the re-education of protocols, standard operating procedures, and questions about items encountered in the field.
3	3.3 Maintain and publicize a public reporting method for the public to report illicit discharges, illegal dumping, or water quality impacts associated with discharges into or from the small MS4, such as a reporting hotline, online form, or other similar mechanism	Yes, by maintaining and publicizing the illicit discharge hotline, citizens have access to reporting an illicit discharge or stormwater issue. Internal procedures for receiving hotline calls have been developed and are being improved to ensure inter-departmental communications. Additional methods of reporting also include the SeeClickFix app, which gives local citizens the ability to report issues via a cellular phone app, with specific location information and photos.
3	3.4 Develop and maintain procedures for responding to illicit discharges, illegal dumping, and spills	Yes, this ensures consistency and strengthens the source investigation and elimination program.
3	3.5 Source investigation and elimination of illicit discharges and illegal dumping	Yes, the system of recording and tracking each reported or discovered illicit discharge event allows the City to evaluate the current standard procedures. These evaluations determine any need for amendments or precautionary measures to reduce the occurrences.
3	3.6 Corrective action to eliminate illicit discharges and illegal dumping	Yes, requiring corrective action resolves cases that may otherwise be neglected by the responsible party.

3	3.7 Conduct follow-up investigations or field	Yes, this ensures that the responsible party has resolved the illicit discharge or is at least taking steps to address the
3	3.8 Inspection Procedures	Yes, this helps ensure consistency throughout the inspection process and minimizes the likelihood of any elements being overlooked.
3	3.9 Inspections in Response to Complaints	Yes, this enables the City to more effectively serve its citizens and reduce pollutant loads within the City. It also empowers citizens to notify City personnel of conditions or concerns that may otherwise go unreported.
3	3.10 Identification of Priority Areas	Yes, 14 areas were identified. Identifying these areas for increased inspection helps mitigate the risk of harm associated with potential illicit discharges.
3	3.11 Dry Weather Field Screening	Yes, with outfall locations, investigations for possible SSOs, leaks, or public reporting, we can utilize the data to determine possible source locations and causes. Outfalls are screened by Drainage Maintenance Division personnel as routine maintenance is being performed.
3	3.12 Floatable Reduction	Yes, this BMP recovers trash and debris that would otherwise be discharged into the MS4. The City is currently implementing 2 inlet protection devices to reduce floatable pollution.
4	4.1 Develop and Maintain an Ordinance	Yes, ordinances were reviewed concerning construction activity regulations, but no changes or resolutions were made.

4	4.2 Prohibit Discharges	Yes, maintaining such an ordinance provides the regulatory means to eliminate illicit discharges.
4	4.3 Maintain and Implement Site Plan Review Procedures	Yes, this BMP ensures consistency and minimizes errors in the site plan review process.
4	4.4 Implement Procedures for Inspecting Large and Small Construction Projects	Yes, this helps ensure consistency throughout the inspection process and minimizes the likelihood of any elements being overlooked.
4	4.5 Conduct Construction Site Inspections	Yes, erosion control and public complaints are checked throughout the construction process, with the results of inspections electronically stored within the Community Development system. By continually inspecting sites for compliance, the possibility of pollutants is reduced.
4	4.6 Develop, Implement, and Maintain Procedures for Receipt and Consideration of Information Submitted by the Public	Yes, public reporting regarding construction activities provides citizens with a way to contact the City if stormwater issues arise during times when inspectors are not on construction sites.

4	4.7 Conduct training for all the City staff whose primary job duties are related to implementing the construction stormwater program	Yes, City staff members are required to be properly trained to follow inspection and outfall screening procedures and to identify illicit discharges and connections, leaking OSSFs, and illegal dumping. Staff training allows for the re-education of protocols, standard operating procedures, and questions for items encountered in the field.
4	4.8 Maintain a Construction Site Inventory	Yes, maintaining an inventory helps the City ensure compliance with stormwater regulations.
5	5.1 Develop and Maintain an Ordinance	Yes, the City is in the process of developing and adopting an ordinance.
5	5.2 Document and Maintain Records of Enforcement Actions	Yes, records of detention pond violations and enforcement actions are maintained. Enforcement action was taken for 1 detention pond violation during the reporting period.
5	5.3 Ensure the Long-term Operation and Maintenance of Structural Stormwater Control Measures	Yes, this will help reduce stormwater pollution associated with post construction controls. A maintenance plan program is in the process of development.
5	5.4 Develop and Implement an Inspection Program	Yes, revising the current inspection program.
5	5.5 Maintain Inspection Reports	Yes, inspection records are stored digitally.
6	6.1 City-Owned Facilities and Control Inventory	Yes, keeping a current inventory of City properties, permits, and facilities ensures that the potential of discharged pollutants into storm drains or subsequent surface waters is reduced or eliminated.

6	6.2 Training and Education	Yes, staff training allows for the re-education of good housekeeping and pollution prevention protocols, standard operating procedures, and questions for items encountered in everyday operations.
6	6.3 Disposal of Waste Material	Yes, this ensures compliance with the Texas Administrative Code.
6	6.4 Contractor Requirements and Oversight	Yes, contractors are required to comply with all local, state, and federal laws. They will be contractually required, specifically, to comply with all stormwater procedures, measures, and controls beginning next permit year.
6	6.5 Assessment of City-Owned Operations	Yes, the potential pollutant inventory was reviewed and updated.
6	6.6 Identify Pollutants of Concern	Yes, the potential pollutant inventory was reviewed and updated.
6	6.7 Pollution Prevention Measures	No, none of the pollution prevention measures listed were necessary during the reporting period. The City did not perform any bridge maintenance work during this period. TxDot provides the City with bridge inspection records.
6	6.8 Inspection of Pollution Prevention Measures	No, none of the pollution prevention measures listed were necessary during the reporting period. The City did not perform any bridge maintenance work during this period. TxDot provides the City with bridge inspection records.
6	6.9 Structural Control Maintenance	Yes, this ensures regular, consistent maintenance work. Public Works is currently developing a drainage infrastructure maintenance program with a schedule.
6	6.10 Storm Sewer System Operation and Maintenance Program	Yes, this helps identify stormwater pollution.

6	6.11 Storm Sewer System Operation and Maintenance Problem Areas	Yes, 14 areas were identified.
6	6.12 Operation and Maintenance Program to Reduce Discharges of Pollutants from Roads	Yes, this directly removes pollutants that could enter the MS4. 100% of City streets were swept.
6	6.13 Mapping of Facilities	Yes.
6	6.14 Assessment of Facilities' Pollutant Discharge Potential	Yes, this will help find additional high priority facilities. An assessment will be performed later in the permit term to confirm whether additional facilities need to be added.
6	6.15 Identification of High Priority Facilities	Yes, identifying high priority facilities allows the City to be aware of the areas with high pollutant discharge potential and continuously monitor those areas to prevent the risk of illicit discharges or respond to and mitigate a discharge as quickly as possible.
6	6.16 Documentation of Assessment Results	Yes, identifying high priority facilities allows the City to be aware of the areas with high pollutant discharge potential and continuously monitor those areas to prevent the risk of illicit discharges or respond to and mitigate a discharge as quickly as possible.
6	6.17 Development of Facility-Specific SOPs	Yes, by establishing guidelines and standards for municipal facilities and operations, the risk of stormwater pollution from high priority facilities is decreased.
6	6.18 Stormwater Controls for High Priority Facilities, General Good Housekeeping	Yes,

6	6.19 Stormwater Controls for High Priority Facilities, Deicing and Anti-Icing Material Storage	No, the City did not have any storage piles of salt or other deicing and anti-icing materials during the first permit year.
6	6.20 Stormwater Controls for High Priority Facilities, Fueling and Vehicle Maintenance	Yes, SPCC procedures help keep pollutants from discharging from these facilities.
6	6.21 Stormwater Controls for High Priority Facilities, Equipment, and Vehicle Washing	Yes, such procedures are currently in development.
6	6.22 Inspection of High Priority Facilities	Yes, inspections at these facilities help reduce pollution from these sites.
6	6.23 Pesticide, Herbicide, and Fertilizer Applicator and Distributor Measures	Yes, pesticides and herbicides are only applied by licensed applicators or by personnel filing under the Texas Department of Agriculture's Q570A Direct Supervision Affidavit. This is to ensure City staff maintain proper handling and application procedures, as well as minimizing pesticide and herbicide runoff.
6	6.24 Landscape Maintenance	Yes, landscaping materials and activities were reviewed. This helps identify ways to lower the risk of stormwater pollution.
6	6.25 Non-Chemical Solutions	Yes, prudent use of pesticides and fertilizers limits their probability of being discharged.
6	6.26 Schedules for Chemical Application	Yes, a schedule is adhered to year-round to minimize the discharge of pollutants.
6	6.27 Collection and Disposal of Pesticides, Herbicides, and Fertilizers	Yes, proper disposal ensures waste materials do not enter the MS4.

6	6.28 Evaluation of Flood Control Projects	No, the City had no flood control projects during the permit year.
7	7.1 Industrial Facilities	No, the City does not have any facilities that fall under this BMP.
7	7.2 Inspections	Partially, the City does not have any facilities that meet the criteria for the first part of this BMP. However, the City does have MSGP facilities that are inspected.
7	7.3 Priorities and Procedures	Yes, procedures are in place as required by the MSGP.

3. Describe progress towards achieving the goal of reducing the discharge of pollutants to the MEP. If no progress was made or the BMP did not result in a reduction in pollutants, provide an explanation. Use the table below to meet this requirement (**see Example 2 in instructions**)

Please note, the BMPs that you report here must match the BMPs selected in NeT-MS4 on your approved NOI:

MCM	BMP	Information Used	Quantity	Units	Does the BMP Demonstrate a Direct Reduction in Pollutants? (Answer Yes or No and explain)
1	1.2 Social Media Posts, Social Media Campaign	Stormwater educational material	6	Social Media Posts	No. Although this BMP does not result in a direct reduction of pollutants, educating the citizens will eventually reduce pollution.

2	2.2 Habitat Improvement and Stream Restoration: Adopt-A-Greenway	Parks	4	Cleanup events	Yes. Volunteers removed trash in or near creek areas within the parks. This directly prevented the trash from being carried downstream through the MS4.
3	3.5 Source investigation and elimination of illicit discharges, illegal dumping, and spills	Illicit discharge incidents	12	Investigations	Yes. When an illicit discharge is present, action is taken to track the source and remove the pollutant.
4	4.5.1 Conduct Construction Site Inspections	Construction Site	1,457	Stormwater Inspections	Yes. The City can reduce sediment discharge by inspecting and evaluating contractor-operated construction sites.
6	6.10 Storm Sewer System Operation and Maintenance Program	Stormwater inlets in problem areas	2	Inspections	No. This is because no pollutants were found. However, future inspections can reveal whether pollutants are present and take action to have them removed.

6	6.12.1 Operation and Maintenance Program to Reduce Discharges of Pollutants from Roads	Streets	100%	Sweeping	Yes. Street sweeping removes trash and debris that would otherwise enter the MS4.
---	---	---------	------	----------	---

4. Provide the measurable goals for each of the MCMs, and an evaluation of the success of the implementation of the measurable goals (**see Example 3 in instructions**)

Please note, the BMPs and measurable goals that you report here must match the BMPs and corresponding measurable goals selected in NeT-MS4 on your approved NOI:

MCM(s)	Measurable Goal(s)	Explain progress toward goal or how goal was achieved. If goal was not accomplished, please explain.
1	1.1.1 Maintain a webpage with current and accurate information and working links. • All links shall be checked, and the page shall be updated as necessary at a minimum of once annually. • Must be maintained for the full year, each year.	Met goal - the City's stormwater webpage was reviewed and updated.
1	1.1.2 Publish the SWMP to the cctx.gov webpage.	Met goal - the SWMP was published on the City's stormwater management webpage.
1	1.2 Post a minimum of four times each year on a minimum of one social media platform.	Met goal - a total of 6 social media posts were made on 3 different platforms. The posts informed the public on how to reduce stormwater impacts from pet waste and household hazardous waste.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The message shall address ways attendees can minimize or avoid adverse stormwater impacts or practices to improve the quality of stormwater runoff. • The messages shall be seasonally appropriate. • Must make a minimum of one post per quarter, and all quarterly posts must be visible to attendees for the full year, each year. 	
1	<p>1.3 Develop topics that address activities or pollutants of concern.</p> <p>Advertisement must be active for a minimum of three weeks each year; or must have an estimated public exposure for the duration of the advertising campaign that is equal to twice the population of the City (based on the most recent U.S. Census Bureau decennial population value for the small MS4 area).</p>	Met goal - a “Scoop the Poop” television ad was aired daily on the City’s Channel 19 for the duration of the permit year.
1	<p>1.4 Develop material topics that are group-specific and address activities or pollutants of concern.</p> <p>Fact sheets, brochures, bill inserts, door hangers, or handouts shall be distributed each year to at least 75% of the intended audience.</p> <p>Develop and implement a tracking system to estimate the percentage of the intended audience reached to determine BMP effectiveness.</p>	Met goal - approximately 92% of people with property in a Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) received an educational letter from the City stating that dumping into the storm sewer system is illegal.

1	<p>1.5 A minimum of one campaign annually will be distributed to at least 75% of the intended audience.</p> <p>Develop and implement a tracking system to estimate the percentage of the intended audience reached to determine BMP effectiveness.</p>	<p>Met goal - an educational handout was emailed to all homebuilders who construct within the City limits. The handout provides information on identifying and preventing the discharge of sediment, concrete washout, trash, and debris.</p>
2	<p>2.1 Host or support at a minimum of two events annually.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To be considered an event, the land area cleaned must be a minimum of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o two acres, o 400 yards of stream/streambank/riparian area, or o two miles of roadside • These may be combined (such as one acre of land and 200 yards of stream). 	<p>Met goal - the City supported more than 2 events through the Adopt-a-Greenway and Adopt-a-Street programs.</p>
2	<p>2.2 Host or support at a minimum of two events annually.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To be considered an event, the project must be a minimum of 0.5 acres or 25 yards. • An event may take place in streams, parks, areas adjacent to public waterways, or other green spaces. • An event may be a combination of locations and areas. 	<p>Met goal - volunteers performed 4 park cleanups through the City's Adopt-a-Greenway program.</p>

2	<p>2.3 Host or support a minimum of one event annually.</p> <p>To be considered an event, the monitoring must be conducted at a minimum of once each year.</p>	<p>Goal not met – during the next permit year, the City plans to financially support the volunteer stream monitoring program conducted by the Texas Master Naturalist Brazos Valley Chapter.</p>
2	<p>2.4 Provide or support one booth or display at a minimum annually.</p> <p>The booth will be located at a school, public event, or similar event to provide information or displays that work to improve public understanding of issues related to water quality.</p>	<p>Met goal - the City worked an educational booth at the Texas A&M University Sustainability Day on October 15, 2025.</p>
3	<p>3.1 Review and update, as necessary, at least once annually to include features that have been added, removed, or changed.</p>	<p>Met goal - the “Storm Drainage” Feature Dataset in the Public Works Department’s GIS is continuously updated/revised to show capital projects, new developments, facilities, and rehabilitated areas.</p>
3	<p>3.2 Conduct a minimum of one training annually for 100% of City field staff that may come into contact with or otherwise observe an illicit discharge, illegal dumping, or illicit connection to the MS4 as part of their normal job responsibilities.</p>	<p>Met goal - Planning and Development Services, Public Works, and the Water Services Department conducted training for all such field staff.</p>
3	<p>3.3.1 Maintain a minimum of one public reporting mechanism 100% of the time during the permit term.</p>	<p>Met goal - 4 public reporting mechanisms were maintained.</p>
3	<p>3.3.2 Publicize the public reporting mechanism a minimum of two times annually in a method designed to reach the majority of the intended audience.</p> <p>Develop and implement a tracking system to estimate the percentage</p>	<p>Met goal - 3 mechanisms are publicized continuously on the City’s website. 2 mechanisms were publicized in a City blog post and letters sent to property owners in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA).</p>

	of the intended audience reached to determine BMP effectiveness.	
3	3.3.3 Publicize the public reporting mechanism on the public website 100% of the time during the permit term.	Met goal - 3 mechanisms are publicized continuously on the City's website.
3	3.4 Review and update the procedures at least one time annually to address changes and make improvements to the established procedures where applicable.	Met goal - the City's Illicit Discharge SOP was reviewed and updated.
3	3.5.1 Respond to 100% of known illicit discharges and illegal dumping incidents each year to investigate sources.	Met goal - all known illicit discharge and illegal dumping incidents were investigated.
3	3.5.2 Respond to 100% of high priority discharges each year, such as sanitary sewer discharges, within 24 hours.	Met goal - the City responded to all 7 high priority discharges within 24 hours.
3	3.5.3 Notify TCEQ immediately of 100% of illicit flows believed to be an immediate threat to human health or the environment throughout the permit term.	Goal met. None of the discharges met this criterion.
3	3.6 For 100% of illicit discharges or illegal dumping where a source has been determined, notify the responsible party of the problem within 24 hours. Require the responsible party to perform all necessary corrective actions to eliminate the illicit discharge.	Met goal - the responsible party was notified within 24 hours and required to perform corrective actions for all illicit discharges.

3	<p>3.7 Conduct follow-up investigations or field screening in response to 100% of notifications each year. Complete the follow-up investigations within five business days, on average.</p>	<p>Met goal - follow-up investigations were performed for all illicit discharges within 5 business days.</p>
3	<p>3.8 Review and update the procedures at least one time annually to address changes and make improvements to the established procedures where applicable.</p>	<p>Met goal - inspection procedures are contained within the Illicit Discharge SOP, which was reviewed and updated.</p>
3	<p>3.9 Conduct inspections in response to 100% of complaints each year according to the established procedures.</p> <p>Conduct follow-up inspections in 100% of cases each year, where necessary, as described in the established procedures.</p>	<p>Met goal - the City conducted inspections for all complaints received. Follow-up inspections were performed for all confirmed illicit discharges.</p>
3	<p>3.10 Develop and maintain a list of 100% of the priority areas identified by the City each year. At a minimum, the following will be considered in developing the priority areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sanitary sewer lines • Industrial areas • Commercial areas • Areas with a history of past illicit discharges or illegal dumping <p>Review and update the list at least one time annually to include new, removed, or changed areas based</p>	<p>Met goal - a list was developed containing all priority areas.</p>

	on the criteria established by the City for identifying priority areas.	
3	3.11.1 Develop and implement written procedures to determine which dry weather flows will be screened, based on results of field observations or complaints from the public or the City's trained field staff.	Met goal - a "Dry Weather Field Screening SOP" has been developed and implemented to fulfill this goal.
3	3.11.2 Review and update the procedures at least one time annually to address changes and make improvements to the established procedures where applicable.	Met goal - the procedures were reviewed at the time of development.
3	3.11.3 Develop and implement written procedures for observing flows from outfalls when there has been at least 72 hours of dry weather.	Met goal - this goal was fulfilled by the development and implementation of the "Dry Weather Field Screening SOP".
3	3.11.4 Review and update the procedures at least one time annually to address changes and make improvements to the established procedures where applicable.	Met goal - the procedures were reviewed at the time of development.
3	3.11.5 Conduct dry weather field screening in 100% of the priority areas by the end of the permit term, with interim milestones established for screening each year.	N/A - no water testing was done in priority areas because field observations did not indicate pollution.
3	3.11.6 Conduct field observations and subsequent screenings for 20% of outfalls in priority areas each permit year.	Met goal - approximately 47% of outfalls in priority areas were inspected.
3	3.12.1 Develop and implement at least two source controls each year to address floatables, such as, but	Met goal - 2 inlet protection devices were installed and maintained.

	<p>not limited to, establishing and maintaining waste collection sites, cleanup events, and anti-littering campaigns.</p> <p>Develop and implement at least two structural controls each year, such as, but not limited to, inlet protections, boom sites, hazardous materials traps, trash racks, outfall netting, and catch basins.</p>	
3	<p>3.12.2 Annually maintain at least two locations where floatable material can be removed before the stormwater is discharged to or from the small MS4. These locations may be the same as the areas where source controls and structural controls are implemented.</p> <p>Floatable material shall be collected at the frequency necessary for maintenance of the removal devices, but not less than two times per year.</p>	Partially met goal – The 2 inlet protection devices were maintained once during the reporting period.
4	<p>4.1 Review and update the ordinance at least one time during the permit term to address changes and make improvements to the ordinance where applicable.</p>	Goal not met - the ordinance that addresses construction site stormwater runoff control will be reviewed in 2026.
4	<p>4.2 Develop and maintain an ordinance or other regulatory mechanism to prohibit construction-related discharges of pollutants.</p> <p>Review and update the ordinance or other regulatory mechanism at least one time during the permit term to address changes and make</p>	Partially met goal - the ordinance is already in place and will be reviewed in 2026.

	improvements to the ordinance where applicable.	
4	<p>4.3 Review and update site plan review procedures at least once annually to address changes and make improvements to the established procedures where applicable.</p> <p>The procedures should describe which plans will be reviewed, as well as when an operator may begin construction.</p> <p>Implement site plan review procedures for 100% of new construction site plans received each year.</p>	Met goal - the "Site Plan Review Checklist" was reviewed and updated to meet the requirements of this BMP.
4	4.4 Review and update inspection procedures at least one time annually to address changes and make improvements to the established procedures where applicable.	Met goal - inspection procedures for Large and Small construction projects were reviewed.
4	4.5.1 Conduct inspections at a minimum of 80% of active construction sites annually according to the established procedures.	Met goal - 100% of active construction sites were inspected.
4	4.5.2 Each year, conduct follow-up inspections in 100% of cases where necessary as described in the established procedures.	Met goal - follow-up inspections were completed for all cases in which a violation was identified during the initial inspection.
4	4.6.1 Review and update procedures for receiving and considering information submitted by the public at least once annually to address changes and make	Met goal - procedures were developed for processing information from the public.

	improvements to the established procedures where applicable.	
4	4.6.2 Maintain one webpage, hotline, or similar method for receiving information submitted by the public throughout the permit term.	Met goal - the City maintained the SeeClickFix web application for members of the public to report stormwater pollution from construction sites. Additionally, phone numbers and an email address are provided on the City's website for reporting stormwater pollution.
4	4.7 Conduct a minimum of one training annually for 100% of MS4 staff whose primary job duties are related to implementing the construction stormwater program.	Met goal - training was administered to all employees implementing the construction stormwater program.
4	4.8 Maintain an annual inventory of 100% of TPDES-permitted active public and private construction sites in the City limits that result in a total land disturbance of one or more acres, or that result in a total land disturbance of less than one acre if part of a larger common plan, development, or sale.	Partially met goal - the Planning and Development Services permitting system contains all TPDES-permitted construction sites. A separate database will be updated in 2026 for easier access to the inventory.
5	5.1 Review and update the ordinance at least one time during the permit term to address changes and make improvements to the ordinance where applicable.	Partially met goal – a draft of the post construction stormwater management ordinance was reviewed and updated. The ordinance will be presented to the City Council in 2026.
5	5.2 Maintain records of 100% of enforcement actions taken each year. Make 100% of enforcement records available to TCEQ for review within 24 hours of request.	Met goal - No enforcement actions were necessary for post-construction-related stormwater controls, as no violations were identified during the reporting period. Records of past violations are stored and ready for viewing upon TCEQ request.
5	5.3.1 Each year, implement a maintenance plan and schedule established by the City addressing 100% of stormwater control	Goal not met - 3 new post construction controls were installed. These controls will be maintained on an as-needed basis. Public Works is

	measures for public facilities where the City is responsible for maintenance.	currently developing a drainage infrastructure maintenance program with a schedule.
5	5.3.2 Each year, require 100% of the owners or operators of any new development or redeveloped sites to develop and implement a maintenance plan addressing maintenance requirements for any structural control measures installed on site.	Goal not met - the City will require this after the post construction stormwater management ordinance has been approved by the City Council.
5	5.3.3 Require the site owner or operators to maintain documentation, such as a tracking log, onsite for 100% of the maintenance performed and made available for review by the City or TCEQ within 24 hours of the request.	Goal not met - the City will require this after the post construction stormwater management ordinance has been approved by the City Council.
5	5.4.1 Develop and implement an inspection program to ensure that post-construction stormwater control measures are operating correctly and are being maintained as required, consistent with its applicable maintenance plan, each year.	Goal not met - the City is currently developing an inspection program. It will be implemented after the post construction stormwater management ordinance has been approved by the City Council.
5	5.4.2 Inspect 20% of the post construction stormwater controls each year, or more if required by the maintenance plan.	Goal not met - inspections will begin after the post construction stormwater management ordinance has been approved by the City Council.
5	5.5 Document inspection findings in an inspection report for 100% of inspections performed each year. Make 100% of inspection reports available to TCEQ staff for review within 24 hours of request.	Goal not met - inspections will begin after the post construction stormwater management ordinance has been approved by the City Council.

6	<p>6.1 Develop and maintain an annual inventory for 100% of the City-owned and operated facilities and controls in the City.</p> <p>Review and update the inventory at least one time annually to address changes or additions to the facilities and controls where applicable.</p>	<p>Met goal - the "Storm Drainage" Feature Dataset in the Public Works Department's GIS is continuously updated/ revised to show capital projects, new developments, facilities, and controls.</p>
6	<p>6.2 Conduct a minimum of one training annually for 100% of employees involved in implementing pollution prevention and good housekeeping practices.</p>	<p>Met goal - 5 trainings were given to a total of 261 employees.</p>
6	<p>6.3 Ensure that 100% of waste from the City is disposed of in accordance with 30 TAC Chapters 330 or 335, as applicable, each year.</p>	<p>Met goal - the City disposes of all waste in accordance with 30 TAC Chapters 330 or 335.</p>
6	<p>6.4.1 Each year, ensure that 100% of contractors hired by the City to perform maintenance activities on City-owned facilities are contractually required to comply with all the stormwater control measures, good housekeeping practices, and facility-specific stormwater management operating procedures.</p>	<p>Met goal - contractors are required to comply with all local, state, and federal laws, including the MS4 GP and CGP requirements.</p>
6	<p>6.4.2 Implement oversight procedures of contractor activities in 100% of contracts to ensure that contractors are using appropriate control measures and SOPs each year.</p>	<p>Met goal.</p>
6	<p>6.4.3 Maintain oversight procedures on-site 100% of the time and make them available for review by TCEQ within 24 hours of request.</p>	<p>Met goal - Public Works keeps SOPs onsite and in digital records.</p>

6	<p>6.5 Evaluate 100% of O&M activities, in conjunction with procedure reviews if appropriate, for their potential to discharge pollutants in stormwater annually, including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Road and parking lot maintenance, including such areas as pothole repair, pavement marking, sealing, and re-paving; • Bridge maintenance, including such areas as re-chipping, grinding, and saw cutting; • Cold weather operations, including plowing, sanding, and application of deicing and anti-icing compounds, and maintenance of snow disposal areas; and • Right-of-way maintenance, including mowing, herbicide and pesticide application, and planting vegetation. 	Met goal - the City's Public Works, Electric, Water Services, and Parks and Recreation Departments conduct this evaluation annually.
6	6.6.1 Identify pollutants of concern that could be discharged from all applicable O&M activities and maintain a list of 100% of the pollutants identified.	Met goal - the City maintains an inventory of all pollutants of concern from applicable O&M activities.
6	6.6.2 Review and update the pollutants of concern list at least one time annually to address changes or additions to the O&M activities where applicable.	Met goal - the pollutants of concern list was reviewed and updated by multiple departments in 2025.
6	6.7 Develop and implement a set of pollution prevention measures that will reduce the discharge of pollutants in stormwater from the	N/A - none of the pollution prevention measures were necessary during the reporting period. The City did not perform any bridge maintenance

	<p>City-owned operations. This will include the following pollution prevention measures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Track 100% of the application of deicing and anti-icing compounds in the MS4 area and record the amount of compound used for each application annually; • Place barriers around or conduct runoff away from 100% of deicing chemical storage areas to prevent discharge into surface waters each year. • Use suspended tarps, booms, or vacuums to capture paint, solvents, rust, paint chips, and other pollutants during 80% of regular bridge maintenance each year; and 	<p>work during this period. TxDot provides the City with bridge inspection records.</p>
6	6.8.1 At least one time annually, visually inspect 100% of pollution prevention measures implemented at City-owned facilities to ensure they are working properly.	N/A - none of the pollution prevention measures were necessary during the reporting period. The City did not perform any bridge maintenance work during this period.
6	6.8.2 Develop and maintain written procedures that describe the frequency of inspections and how they will be conducted.	N/A - TxDot inspects all bridges within City limits and provides the City with bridge inspection records.
6	6.8.3 Review and update the inspection procedures at least one time annually to address changes or additions to the pollution prevention measures.	N/A - TxDot inspects all bridges within City limits and provides the City with bridge inspection records.
6	6.8.4 Maintain a log of 100% of the inspections conducted annually and make the log available for review by the TCEQ within 24 hours of a request.	N/A - TxDot inspects all bridges within City limits and provides the City with bridge inspection records.

6	6.9.1 At least one time annually, perform maintenance of 100% of the structural controls that require maintenance. Maintenance will follow a plan and schedule developed by the City to be consistent with maintaining the effectiveness of the BMP.	Partially met goal - Public Works performed maintenance work on all structural controls that needed maintenance. A scheduled drainage infrastructure maintenance program is currently being developed.
6	6.9.2 Develop and maintain written procedures that define the frequency of inspections and how they will be conducted.	Goal not met - Public Works is currently developing a drainage infrastructure maintenance program with a schedule.
6	6.9.3 Review and update the maintenance procedures at least one time annually to address changes or additions to the pollution prevention measures.	Goal not met - Public Works is currently developing a drainage infrastructure maintenance program with a schedule.
6	6.10 Develop and implement an O&M program to reduce to the MEP the collection of pollutants in catch basins and other surface drainage structures each year. Implement at least two of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inspect at least 25% of the City-owned and operated detention basins each year. • Inspect at least 20% of the City-owned and operated stormwater inlets in problem areas identified by the City each year. 	All City-owned and operated detention basins were inspected in 2025. Only 28.6% of all problem areas involve City-owned and operated inlets. Of these, 50% were inspected.
6	6.11.1 Develop a list of 100% of the identified potential problem areas.	Met goal - a list of all identified potential problem areas was developed.
6	6.11.2 Identify and prioritize problem areas for increased inspection.	Met goal - problem areas were prioritized for increased inspection based on the severity of illicit discharge history.

6	6.11.3 Review and update the list of potential problem areas at least one time annually to address changes or additions to the list.	The list was created during the reporting period and will be reviewed and updated at least once annually for the remainder of the permit term.
6	6.12 Implement a street sweeping and cleaning program to address 75% of the MS4 area where street sweeping is technically feasible annually. • Ensure 100% of the MS4 area where street sweeping is technically feasible is addressed at least two times by the end of the permit term.	Exceeded goal - 100% of City streets were swept quarterly.
6	6.13.1 On a map of the area regulated under this general permit, identify where 100% of the City-owned and operated facilities and stormwater controls are located.	Met goal - this information is maintained in the City's Geographical Information System (GIS).
6	6.13.2 Review and update the map at least one time annually to address changes or additions to the facilities and controls.	Met goal - the City's GIS is updated continuously as changes or additions to facilities and controls occur.
6	6.14 Review 100% of applicable facilities at least once per permit term for their potential to discharge pollutants into stormwater.	Goal not met - an assessment will be conducted later in the permit term to determine whether facilities need to be added to the existing high-priority list.
6	6.15.1 Based on the assessment (BMP 6.14), identify facilities that have a high potential to generate stormwater pollutants. A list of 100% of the identified facilities must be developed and maintained each year.	Partially met goal – the existing high-priority list was reviewed. An assessment will be conducted later in the permit term to determine whether facilities need to be added to the existing high-priority list.
6	6.15.2 Review and update the list of high-priority facilities at least one time annually to address changes or additions to the facilities.	Partially met goal - the existing high-priority list was reviewed. An assessment will be conducted later in the permit term to determine whether

		facilities need to be added to the existing high-priority list.
6	6.16 Document the results of all the assessments and maintain copies of 100% of the site evaluation checklists used to conduct the assessments each year. The documentation must include the results of the City's initial assessment and any identified deficiencies and corrective actions taken.	Goal not met - the assessment will be conducted later in the permit term to determine whether facilities need to be added to the existing high-priority list.
6	6.17.1 Develop facility-specific stormwater management SOPs for 100% of the City-owned and operated facilities. A description of 100% of the BMPs developed must be included in each facility-specific SOP.	Partially met goal - The City's wastewater treatment facilities implement procedures as part of their permit coverage under the MSGP. Public Works, College Station Utilities (Electricity Services), and Parks & Recreation plan to develop and implement an SOP in 2026.
6	6.17.2 Review and update the facility-specific SOPs at least one time annually to address changes or additions to the facilities.	Partially met goal – some facilities have SPCC procedures that are facility-specific, but an SOP that covers non-petroleum pollutants is currently being developed.
6	6.18 Shelter from exposure to stormwater 100% of material with a potential to contribute to stormwater pollution (such as fertilizers, solvents, paints, cleaners, automotive products, etc.) each year.	Met goal.
6	6.19 Ensure that 100% of stormwater runoff from storage piles of salt and other de-icing and anti-icing materials is not discharged each year.	N/A - the City did not have any storage piles of salt or other de-icing and anti-icing materials during the first permit year.
6	6.20.1 Develop and implement SOPs that address spill prevention and spill control at 100% of City-	Met goal - Public Works and College Station Utilities (Electricity Services) utilize Spill Control

	owned and operated vehicle fueling, vehicle maintenance, and bulk fuel delivery facilities each year.	and Countermeasures (SPCC) procedures for SOPs that address these concerns.
6	6.20.2 Review and update the facility-specific SOPs at least one time annually to address changes or additions to the facilities.	Met goal - the SPCC plans are reviewed annually. Other facility-specific SOPs are in the process of being developed.
6	6.21.1 Develop and implement SOPs that address equipment and vehicle washing activities at 100% of the City-owned and operated facilities where washing occurs. To ensure that wastewater is not discharged under this general permit, the City's SOP will include connecting wastewater discharges to the sanitary sewer system.	Partially met goal - Public Works has an SOP that fulfills this goal. College Station Utilities (Electricity Services) and Parks & Recreation plan to develop and implement an SOP for equipment and vehicle washing activities in 2026.
6	6.21.2 Review and update the facility-specific SOPs at least one time annually to address changes or additions to the facilities.	Met goal - Public Works reviewed their vehicle washing SOP during the first permit year.
6	6.22 Develop and implement an inspection program, which at a minimum must include inspections of 100% of high-priority City-owned facilities one time per year.	Met goal - all high-priority facilities were inspected during the first permit year.
6	6.23 Require 100% of pesticide, herbicide, and fertilizer applicators and distributors working in the public spaces owned and operated by the City, including contract workers, to demonstrate at least one of the following each year: • Training in application or distribution	Met goal - all herbicide and fertilizer applicators and distributors are certified and have received training during the first permit year.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Permit to apply or distribute • Certification for application or distribution 	
6	<p>6.24 Evaluate at least one time each year the materials used and activities performed on 100% of the public spaces owned and operated by the City for pollution prevention opportunities, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • parks • easements • public rights of way, and • other open spaces. 	Met goal.
6	<p>6.25 Limit application of pesticides and fertilizers each year in 100% of the public spaces owned and operated by the City if precipitation is forecasted within 24 hours, or as specified in label instructions.</p>	Met goal.
6	<p>6.26 Develop and implement chemical application schedules for use in 100% of applicable public spaces owned and operated by the City each year. Schedules must minimize the discharge of pollutants from the chemical application due to irrigation and expected precipitation.</p>	Met goal.
6	<p>6.27 Ensure collection and proper disposal of 100% of the City's unusable pesticides, herbicides, and fertilizers each year.</p>	Met goal - the City properly disposes 100% of unusable pesticides, herbicides, and fertilizers each year.
6	<p>6.28.1 Assess the impacts of the receiving water(s) for 100% of the flood control projects each year.</p>	N/A - the City had no flood control projects during the permit year.

6	6.28.2 100% of new flood control structures must be designed, constructed, and maintained to provide erosion prevention and pollutant removal from stormwater.	N/A - the City had no new flood control structures during the permit year.
6	<p>6.28.3 The retrofitting of 20% of the existing structural flood control devices each year to provide additional pollutant removal from stormwater shall be implemented unless infeasible.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If it is not feasible for the small MS4 operator to retrofit 20% of the existing control devices each year, written documentation of the reason must be maintained and made available to the TCEQ for review upon request. 	N/A - The City does not own or operate structural flood control devices.
7	7.1 Identify and control pollutants in stormwater discharges from 100% of the City's landfills; other treatment, storage, or disposal facilities for municipal waste (for example, transfer stations and incinerators); hazardous waste treatment, storage, disposal and recovery facilities and facilities that are subject to Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA) Title III, Section 313; and any other industrial or commercial discharge the City determines are contributing a substantial pollutant loading to the MS4.	N/A - the City does not have any facilities that fall under this BMP.
7	7.2.1 Inspect 100% of City-owned and operated facilities at least one time annually.	N/A - the City does not have any facilities that fall under this BMP.

7	7.2.2 Inspect 100% of industrial facilities permitted under the TPDES MSGP, TXR050000, and located within the City at least one time annually.	Met goal - the City's wastewater treatment facilities are permitted under the TPDES MSGP, TXR050000, and are inspected monthly.
7	7.3.1 Develop and implement SOPs for 100% of inspections of facilities and industrial facilities permitted under the TPDES MSGP, TXR050000, and within the City.	Met goal - College Station Utilities (Water Services) implements inspection SOPs for these facilities throughout each calendar year.
7	7.3.2 Review and update the facility inspection SOPs at least one time annually to address changes or additions.	Met goal - College Station Utilities (Water Services) reviews inspection SOPs for these facilities each calendar year.

C. Stormwater Data Summary

Provide a summary of all information used, including any lab results (if sampling was conducted), to assess the success of the SWMP at reducing the discharge of pollutants to the MEP. For example, did the MS4 conduct visual inspections, clean the inlets, look for illicit discharge, clean streets, look for flow during dry weather, etc.?

D. Impaired Waterbodies

1. Identify whether an impaired water within the permitted area was added to the latest EPA-approved 303(d) list or the **Texas Integrated Report of Surface Water Quality for CWA Sections 305(b) and 303(d)**. List any newly-identified impaired waters below by including the name of the water body and the cause of impairment.

No new impaired waters within city limits were added to the latest 303(d) list or the Texas Integrated Report of Surface Water Quality for CWA Sections 305(b) and 303(d). Previously listed impaired waters, Carters Creek and Burton Creek, remain on the 2024 Texas Integrated Report – Index of Water Quality of Impairments for bacteria in water. The City still carries out best management practices as assigned by the Implementation Plan for Three Total Maximum Daily Loads for Indicator Bacteria in the Carters Creek Watershed.

2. If applicable, explain below any activities taken to address the discharge to impaired waterbodies, including any sampling results and a summary of the small MS4's BMPs used to address the pollutant of concern.

The City of College utilized waterway data collected by the Texas Master Naturalist Brazos Valley Chapter (TMNBV), a volunteer group. Data from sampling in 2025 can be found on the Texas Stream Team website for sites 81263, 81262, 81264, 81245, 81244, and 81823. Results from individual sites are used to monitor creek health and can serve as indicators of possible illicit discharges or SSOs.

Best management practices were implemented to increase public awareness of bacterial pollution and ways to reduce it. The City also publicizes a hotline number for citizens to report illegal discharge/dumping and organizes volunteer cleanups through the Adopt-A-Greenway and Adopt-A-Street programs.

The Water Services Department (WSD) personnel conducted video scoping in department-chosen pipelines in various areas throughout the City to detect possible sanitary sewer leaks and other problems. Corrective actions and improvements reduced areas susceptible to overflows. WSD performed repairs and remediation for sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs) that occurred on public wastewater lines.

Planning and Development Services investigated and provided enforcement for the illicit discharges that weren't managed by WSD. This included requiring the responsible parties to implement containment measures and to remediate affected areas to their pre-existing condition.

3. Describe the implementation of targeted controls if the small MS4 discharges to an impaired water body with an approved TMDL.

Targeted controls focused on reducing the pollution that can enter local waterways. This was primarily achieved through publicizing outlets to encourage public reporting of illegal dumping and discharging; identifying SSOs on private property and providing enforcement to correct them; and repairing public sanitary sewer pipes to eliminate sanitary sewer overflows and leaks.

The City continued its efforts to reduce the amount of pet waste polluting stormwater runoff through the Scoop the Poop program. An educational pamphlet to inform the public and raise awareness of the concern was distributed at the 2025 Texas A&M University Sustainability Day event. Also, the Parks and Recreation Department ensures that disposal bags are continuously restocked at pet waste stations throughout the City.

4. Report the benchmark identified by the MS4 and assessment activities:

Benchmark Parameter <i>(Ex: Total Suspended Solids)</i>	Benchmark Value	Description of additional sampling or other assessment activities	Year(s) conducted
E. Coli bacteria	Criterion = 126 MPN/100mL	Regular sampling performed by volunteer members of the Texas Master Naturalist Brazos Valley Chapter.	2025

5. Provide an analysis of how the selected BMPs will be effective in contributing to achieving the benchmark:

Benchmark Parameter	Selected BMP	Contribution to achieving Benchmark
E. Coli bacteria	2.2 Adopt-A-Street/Adopt-A-Greenway	Remove litter items from roads and greenways that may enter water bodies and increase E. coli amounts.
E. Coli bacteria	3.5 Source investigation and elimination of illicit discharges, illegal dumping, and spills	Identifying illicit discharges that originate from private sources and providing enforcement to ensure the discharges are corrected will reduce the <i>E. Coli</i> count in local waterways.
E. Coli bacteria	3.7 Conduct follow-up investigations or field screenings	Follow-up investigations verify that illicit discharges have been addressed and are no longer contributing to E. coli levels.
E. Coli bacteria	3.9 Inspections in Response to Complaints	

6. If applicable, report on focused BMPs to address impairment for bacteria:

<p align="center">Description of bacteria-focused BMP</p>	<p align="center">Comments/Discussion</p>
<p>Identify whether an impaired water body within the permitted area was added to the latest <i>EPA-approved 303(d)</i> list or the <i>Texas Integrated Report of Surface Water Quality for CWA Sections 305(b) and 303(d)</i>.</p>	<p>Met goal - no new impaired waters within city limits were added to the latest 303(d) list or the Texas Integrated Report of Surface Water Quality for CWA Sections 305(b) and 303(d).</p>
<p>Conduct weekly lift station inspections at 100% of the City-owned and operated lift stations in the MS4 area within the impairment watershed each year.</p>	<p>Met goal.</p>
<p>Strengthen sanitary sewer use requirements to reduce blockage from fats, oils, and grease by reviewing and updating ordinances or other regulatory mechanisms and inspection programs at least one time annually.</p>	<p>Met goal.</p>
<p>Maintain the OSSF educational subpage available on the City's website.</p>	<p>Met goal.</p>
<p>Compose and maintain an SSO high priorities list each permit year.</p>	<p>Met goal – records of past SSOs from public lines and private sources are maintained.</p>
<p>Investigate and address 100% of sanitary sewer overflow complaints identified through the public reporting mechanism implemented by the MS4 each year.</p>	<p>Met goal.</p>
<p>Identify 100% of SSOs repaired each permit year.</p>	<p>Met goal.</p>

<p>Ensure 100% of procedures and ordinances or other regulatory mechanisms established for BMPs in MCM 3: Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination address discharges that may contribute bacteria, including from OSSFs, grease traps, and grit traps.</p>	<p>Met goal.</p>
<p>Assess and address, if feasible, 100% of complaints received about feral hogs in the MS4 area within the impairment watershed each year. If infeasible to address the complaint, maintain documentation of the reason.</p>	<p>The City of College Station Animal Control forwards feral hog cases to the Texas Parks & Wildlife Department.</p>
<p>Prohibit the feeding of ducks and geese in 100% of public parks or similar greenspaces in the MS4 area within the impairment watershed each year.</p>	<p>Met goal.</p>
<p>Hold, host, or promote a minimum of two events annually. The events will address ways attendees can minimize or avoid adverse impacts to stormwater or practices to improve the quality of stormwater runoff. These events may address different pollutants and audiences.</p>	<p>Goal not met. One qualifying event was hosted</p>
<p>Ensure at least one of the BMPs implemented for MCM 1: Public Education and Outreach focuses on at least one of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bacteria discharging from a residential site either during runoff events or directly; • Fats, oils, and grease clogging sanitary sewer lines and resulting overflows; • Identifying and reporting illicit discharges or illegal dumping; • Maintenance and operation of decorative ponds; and <p>Proper disposal of pet waste.</p>	<p>Met goal – the City’s Channel 19 ran a “Scoop the Poop” television ad during the reporting period.</p>
<p>Collaborate in rewarding (1) recognition or (1) grant each permit year.</p>	<p>Met goal – The City is a funding partner of Keep Brazos Beautiful and supports its annual awards luncheon that recognizes community efforts in local environmental improvement.</p>

7. Assess the progress to determine BMP’s effectiveness in achieving the benchmark.

For example, the MS4 may use the following benchmark indicators:

- number of sources identified or eliminated;
- number of illegal dumpings;
- increase in illegal dumping reported;
- number of educational opportunities conducted;
- reductions in sanitary sewer flows (SSOs); or
- increase in illegal discharge detection through dry screening.

Benchmark Indicator	Description/Comments
Illicit discharge sources identified and eliminated	11
Number of illegal dumpings	3
Number of illegal dumpings reported by the public	3
Number of educational opportunities conducted	9

E. Stormwater Activities

Describe activities planned for the next reporting year:

No additional activities are planned other than those described in the SWMP.

F. SWMP Modifications

1. The SWMP and MCM implementation procedures are reviewed each year.

Yes No

2. Changes have been made or are proposed to the SWMP since the NOI or the last annual report, including changes in response to TCEQ’s review.

___ Yes X No

If "Yes," report on changes made to measurable goals and BMPs:

Note: If changes include additions or substitutions of BMPs, include a written analysis explaining why the original BMP is ineffective or not feasible, and why the replacement BMP is expected to achieve the goals of the original BMP.

3. Explain additional changes or proposed changes not previously mentioned (i.e., dates, contacts, procedures, annexation of land, etc.).

No additional changes or proposed changes at this time.

4. I understand that I must submit a Notice of Change (NOC) electronically on the NeT-MS4 system to indicate these changes on the NOI.

Yes No

G. Additional BMPs for TMDLs and I-Plans

Provide a description and schedule for implementation of additional BMPs that may be necessary, based on monitoring results, to ensure compliance with applicable TMDLs and implementation plans.

No additional BMPs are considered at this time.

H. Additional Information

1. Is the permittee relying on another entity to satisfy any permit obligations?

X Yes ___ No

If "Yes," provide the name(s) of other entities and an explanation of their responsibilities (add more spaces or pages if needed).

Name and Explanation: The Texas Water Resources Institute (TWRI) leads the TMDL I-Plan that the City of College Station participates in. A TWRI representative reports TMDL data to the I-Plan team, which consists of several local entities. The team then assesses the area affected and possible sources. Based on location, members of the team may need to assess their assigned area for possible leaks or causes.

Name and Explanation: Texas Stream Team/Texas Master Naturalist Brazos Valley Chapter. The City of College Station and BVMN are currently working together on

water monitoring. BVMN does the fieldwork of collecting samples and reporting the data to the Texas Stream Team. The City of College Station then evaluates information for trouble spots and possible causes.

2.a. Is the permittee part of a group sharing a SWMP with other entities?

Yes No

2.b. If "yes," is this a system-wide annual report including information for all permittees?

Yes No

If "Yes," list all associated authorization numbers, permittee names, and SWMP responsibilities of each member (add additional spaces or pages if needed):

Authorization Number: _____	Permittee: _____
Authorization Number: _____	Permittee: _____
Authorization Number: _____	Permittee: _____
Authorization Number: _____	Permittee: _____

I. Construction Activities

1. The number of construction activities that occurred in the jurisdictional area of the MS4 (Large and Small Site Notices submitted by construction site operators):

37

2a. Does the permittee utilize the optional eighth MCM related to construction?

Yes No

2b. If "yes," then provide the following information for this permit year:

The number of municipal construction activities authorized under this general permit	
The total number of acres disturbed for municipal construction projects	N/A

Note: Though the eighth MCM is optional, implementation must be requested on the NOI or NOC and approved by the TCEQ.

J. Certification

Each permittee shall sign and certify the annual report in accordance with 30 TAC §305.128 (relating to Signatories to Reports). If this is this a system-wide annual report include information and signatures for all permittees.

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Name (printed): Jeff Capps Title: Deputy City Manager
Signature:  Date: 3/31/26

Name of MS4 City of College Station

Name (printed): _____ Title: _____
Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name of MS4 _____

Name (printed): _____ Title: _____
Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name of MS4 _____

Name (printed): _____ Title: _____
Signature: _____ Date: _____

If you have questions on how to fill out this form or about the stormwater permits program, please contact us at 512-239-4671 or SWGP@tceq.texas.gov.

Individuals are entitled to request and review their personal information that the agency gathers on its forms. They may also have any errors in their information corrected. To review such information, contact us at 512-239-3282.