Phase II (Small) MS4 Annual Report Form

TPDES General Permit Number TXR040000

A. General Information

Authorization Number: TXR040008

Reporting Year (year will be either 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5): 6

Annual Reporting Year Option Selected by MS4:

Calendar Year: 2025

Reporting period beginning date: (month/date/year) Jan 1, 2025

Reporting period end date: (month/date/year) Aug 18, 2025

MS4 Operator Level: 3 Name of MS4: City of College Station

Contact Name: David Vaughn Telephone Number: 979-764-3570

Mailing Address: 1101 Texas Ave, College Station, TX 77842

E-mail Address: dvaughn@cstx.gov

A copy of the annual report was submitted to the TCEQ Region: YES X NO

Region the annual report was submitted to: TCEQ Region 9

B. Status of Compliance with the MS4 GP and SWMP

1. Provide information on the status of complying with permit conditions: (TXR040000 Part IV.B.2)

CONDITION	YES	NO	EXPLAIN
Permittee is currently in compliance with the SWMP as submitted to and approved by the TCEQ.	X		
Permittee is currently in compliance with recordkeeping and reporting requirements.	X		
Permittee meets the eligibility requirements of the permit (e.g., TMDL requirements, Edwards Aquifer limitations, compliance history, etc.).	X		
Permittee conducted an annual review of its SWMP in conjunction with preparation of the annual report	X		

2. Provide a general assessment of the appropriateness of the selected BMPs.

MCM	BMP	BMP is appropriate for reducing the discharge of pollutants in stormwater (Answer Yes or No and explain)
1	1.1 Public Notice & Input for SWMP Development & Annual Reporting	Yes, this BMP was created to adhere to the state and local public notice requirements, as well as to allow citizens to add input into the development and execution of the program. Additionally, the public will have the opportunity to review the annual report and comment on those findings.
1	1.2 Educational Topics	Yes, because the City reviews stormwater quality topics and websites to maintain relevancy and currency, various groups of citizens can better relate to the subject material. The City maintains several modes of information access to ensure availability to the public, such as a website, social media pages, digital newsletters, etc.
1	1.3 Educational Materials: Multi-Media Educational Campaign	Yes, the City maintains several modes of information access to ensure availability to the public, such as a website, social media pages, digital newsletters, etc. Participants of irrigation checkups learned about controlling irrigation runoff and what items should be kept out of both sanitary and storm sewer systems.
1	1.4 Don't Bag It Program	Yes, the City of College Station Water Services Department (WSD) has teamed with the Groundwater Conservation District to encourage participants to mulch grass and yard clippings. Mulching creates compost that will serve as an environmentally friendly alternative to commercial fertilizers.
1	1.5 Scoop the Poop Program	Yes, fecal matter from dogs and other urban animals can be a major source of E. coli and nutrient pollution transported by urban runoff during rain events and irrigation practices. The objective of this program is to promote proper pet waste management by providing educational information on a variety of platforms to target audiences. This BMP will increase stakeholder awareness of water quality and potential health issues caused by excessive pet waste. The City of College Station will also maintain and stock pet waste stations to reduce E. coli loads in local streams.

MCM	BMP	BMP is appropriate for reducing the discharge of pollutants in stormwater (Answer Yes or No and explain)
1	1.6 Smart Irrigation	Yes, the goal is to raise awareness of smart irrigation practices, which will improve water conservation and the water quality of runoff in the City of College Station. To ensure smart irrigation practices, the Water Services Department (WSD) will conduct irrigation system checks.
1	1.7 Adopt-A-Greenway Program	Yes, the Adopt-A-Greenway program allows volunteers to participate in cleaning up and beautifying the City's open spaces. Litter collection in greenway spaces directly reduces the amount of pollution that enters our streams.
1	1.8 Adopt-A-Street Program	Yes, the Adopt-A-Street program has facilitated the adoption of nearly seventy-eight (78.07) centerline miles of TxDOT and City-maintained streets by over seventy-six (76) organizations from the community and Texas A&M University.
1	1.9 Volunteer Monitoring	Yes, the volunteer monitor program provides additional protection and surveillance of local waterways within the City. Volunteer monitoring invites citizens to participate in keeping local creeks clean by monitoring areas for polluted stormwater or unexpected runoff.
2	2.1 GIS Mapping Database of MS4	Yes, maintaining maps that contain current storm sewer, sanitary sewer infrastructure, water bodies, SSOs, and leaks allows for quick responses to reports related to stormwater concerns. Mapping also assists with the future planning of new and existing development throughout the City.
2	2.2 Staff Training	Yes, City staff members are required to be properly trained to follow inspection and outfall screening procedures and to identify illicit discharges and connections, leaking OSSFs, and illegal dumping. Staff training allows for the re-education of protocols, standard operating procedures, and questions for items encountered in the field.
2	2.3 Public Reporting Program	Yes, by maintaining and publicizing the illicit discharge hotline, citizens have access to reporting an illicit discharge or stormwater issue. Internal procedures for receiving hotline calls have been developed and are being improved to ensure inter-departmental communications. Additional methods of reporting also include the SeeClickFix app, which gives local citizens the ability to report issues via a cellular phone app, with specific location information and photos.

MCM	BMP	BMP is appropriate for reducing the discharge of pollutants in stormwater (Answer Yes or No and explain)
2	2.4 High Priority Inventory	Yes, identifying high priority facilities allows the City to be aware of the areas with high pollutant discharge potential and continuously monitor those areas to prevent the risk of illicit discharges or respond to and mitigate a discharge as quickly as possible.
2	2.5 Onsite Sewage Facilities (OSSFs)	Yes, private residential onsite sewage facilities (OSSFs), commonly referred to as septic systems, have several pathways for liquid waste, i.e., bacteria, to enter ground and surface waters if the systems are not operating properly. The City of College Station will continue its efforts in aiding the OSSF inter-agency goals by identification, pre-installation planning, education, and tracking of all OSSFs within city limits.
2	2.6 Elimination of Sanitary Sewer and Gray Water Discharge	Yes, maintenance and repairs on the sanitary sewer system are ongoing and done as needed. By reporting SSOs, leaks, and corrective actions, the Water Services Department can assess areas of high concern or higher-than-normal demand. Areas of concern or large demand can be considered for capital improvement projects.
2	2.7 Elimination of Sanitary Sewer Overflows	Yes, the Wastewater Master Plan is continually reviewed as new development is constructed to ensure proper design and reduce sanitary sewer overflows.
2	2.8 Source Investigation and Elimination of Illicit Discharges	Yes, the system of recording and tracking each reported or discovered illicit discharge event allows the City to evaluate the current standard procedures. These evaluations determine any need for amendments or precautionary measures to reduce the occurrences.
2	2.9 Outfall Dry Weather Screenings	Yes, with outfall locations, investigations for possible SSOs, leaks, or public reporting, we can utilize the data to determine possible source locations and causes. Outfalls are screened by Drainage Maintenance Division personnel as routine maintenance is being performed.
2	2.10 Household Hazardous Waste (HHW) Program	Yes, this program provides the public with a method of properly disposing of household hazardous waste (HHW), thereby reducing the quantity of pollutants that could enter surface waters. Reduction of HHW will be promoted through the distribution of educational materials that discourage improper waste disposal and inform the public of its associated harmful effects.

MCM	BMP	BMP is appropriate for reducing the discharge of pollutants in stormwater (Answer Yes or No and explain)
2	2.11 Fats, Oils, and Grease (FOG) Program	Yes, fats, oils, and grease (FOG) merge in our sewers to form solid, immovable blockages known as fatbergs. Fatbergs damage infrastructure and equipment, posing health risks to humans and the environment. The FOG Program will promote proper disposal practices while simultaneously discouraging the dumping of FOGs in the storm system.
2	2.12 Litter Collection Program	Yes, the City of College Station provides collection services for various floatables, including regular garbage, bulk and brush, and recyclables, to ensure the MS4 system does not become a conduit for trash.
3	3.1 Legal Authority Review	Yes, ordinances were reviewed concerning construction activity regulations, but no changes or resolutions were made.
3	3.2 Construction Plans and Design Review	Yes, Planning and Development Services records site plan reviews and approvals electronically within the Community Development system. Each review allows multiple departments to audit a proposed site. Within the review process, drainage, stormwater controls, and erosion control plans are viewed and checked for adequacy.
3	3.3 Permitting & Inventory Requirements	Yes, ensuring that operators abide by the TPDES *Construction General Permit TXR150000* before issuing development permits will reduce the number of pollutants deriving from construction site activities.
3	3.5 Active Construction Site Inspections	Yes, erosion control and public complaints are checked throughout the construction process, with the results of inspections electronically stored within the Community Development system. By continually inspecting sites for compliance, the possibility of pollutants is reduced.
3	3.6 Inspection and Enforcement Recordkeeping	Yes, erosion control and public complaints are checked throughout the construction process, with the results of inspections electronically stored within the Community Development system. By continually inspecting sites for compliance, the possibility of pollutants is reduced. Enforcement encourages compliance within the construction community.
3	3.7 Public Reporting of Construction Activities	Yes, public reporting regarding construction activities provides citizens with a way to contact the City if stormwater issues arise during times when inspectors are not on construction sites.

MCM	BMP	BMP is appropriate for reducing the discharge of pollutants in stormwater (Answer Yes or No and explain)
3	3.8 Operator Education Program	Yes, this program allows those in the construction industry to familiarize themselves with stormwater regulations and the entities inspecting and regulating their work.
4	4.1 Legal Authority Review	Yes, ordinances were reviewed concerning long-term operations and post-development maintenance, but no changes or resolutions were made.
4	4.2 Inventory of Structural Controls	Yes, maintaining maps containing current storm sewer infrastructure assists with future planning of new and existing development, facilities, and rehabilitated areas throughout the City, as well as allows for quick response to reports relating to stormwater concerns.
4	4.3 Review Process	Yes, Planning and Development Services records site plan reviews and approvals electronically within the Community Development system. Within the review process, drainage, stormwater controls, and erosion control plans are viewed and checked for adequacy. Prior to the release of the Certificate of Occupancy, inspectors examine the location for post-construction compliance based on approved plans. Guidelines for post-construction are provided on the Development Engineering web page to ensure proper maintenance of construction.
4	4.4 Post-Construction Inspections	Yes, the City will conduct inspections of all post-construction controls discharging stormwater into the City's MS4. Inspections of post-construction controls ensure proper maintenance and operations of those controls. Prior to the release of the Certificate of Occupancy, inspectors examine the location for post-construction compliance based on approved plans.
4	4.5 Post-Construction Enforcement	Yes, prior to the release of the Certificate of Occupancy, inspectors examine the location for post-construction compliance based on approved plans. Non-compliant sites are not issued a full Certificate of Occupancy. Post-construction enforcement keeps stormwater controls functioning and adequate.

MCM	BMP	BMP is appropriate for reducing the discharge of pollutants in stormwater (Answer Yes or No and explain)
4	4.6 Maintenance Plans for Stormwater Structural Controls	Yes, as part of the goal to ensure long-term operation and maintenance of private stormwater structural controls, an annual review of post-construction SOPs and ordinances was completed. No changes or resolutions were needed.
5	5.1 Municipal Operations Inventory	Yes, keeping a current inventory of City properties, permits, and facilities ensures that the potential of discharged pollutants into storm drains or subsequent surface waters is reduced or eliminated.
5	5.2 SPCC Facilities	Yes, SPCC plans are currently in compliance and are maintained for all applicable departments: College Station Utilities, Public Works, and Water Services. SPCC plans list the activities utilizing oil products and provide the response and clean-up procedures for each specific location.
5	5.3 Multi-Sector Permitted Facilities	Yes, various City facilities, namely industrial activities like wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs), require authorization to discharge stormwater under the *TXR050000 Multi-Sector General Permit.* The City will ensure these facilities are appropriately permitted and in full compliance with the *TXR050000* requirements in order to prevent the discharge of pollutants into the stormwater system.
5	5.4 ASTs and USTs	Yes, a list of City-owned storage tanks is a helpful resource when assessing possible hazards to surrounding surface waters. Above and Underground Storage Tanks regularly house hazardous chemicals, with the ability to significantly damage the environment. If tanks become compromised and leak, discharges of pollutants can occur into the MS4 or directly into surrounding waterbodies. Maintaining the locations of these storage tanks within the City aids in locating possible sources of illicit discharges.
5	5.5 Pollutant Inventory at Municipal Facilities	Identifying potential stormwater pollutants stored at City-owned facilities allows the City to prevent, prepare, and adequately respond to spills.
5	5.6 SOPs for High Priority Facilities	Yes, by establishing guidelines and standards for municipal facilities and operations, the risk of stormwater pollution from high priority facilities is decreased.

MCM	BMP	BMP is appropriate for reducing the discharge of pollutants in stormwater (Answer Yes or No and explain)
5	5.7 Pollution Prevention and Good Housekeeping Inspection Program	Yes, the inspection of City-owned high priority facilities will effectively minimize the discharge of stormwater pollutants from those facilities.
5	5.8 Staff Education and Training Program	Yes, staff training allows for the re-education of good housekeeping and pollution prevention protocols, standard operating procedures, and questions for items encountered in everyday operations.
5	5.9 Pesticide and Herbicide Application	Yes, pesticides and herbicides are only applied by licensed applicators or by personnel filing under the Texas Department of Agriculture's Q570A Direct Supervision Affidavit. This is to ensure City staff maintain proper handling and application procedures, as well as minimizing pesticide and herbicide runoff.
5	5.10 Street Sweeping and Maintenance Program	Yes, by implementing regular street sweeping rotations as well as sweeping City-owned parking lots as needed, litter and debris are removed from possibly entering the storm sewer system and surrounding surface waterbodies.
5	5.11 Municipal Vehicle Maintenance and Washing	Yes, by washing vehicles in the City-maintained grated "wash rack", possible pollutants are diverted from surface runoff and instead collect in a containment basin equipped with a backflow preventer and oil water separator prior to being released into the sanitary sewer system. City vehicle maintenance inspections ensure proper maintenance and reduce oil, grease, or other vehicle fluids from escaping City vehicles.
5	5.12 Stormwater Infrastructure Cleaning Program	Yes, GIS mapping of catch basins, surface inlets, and storm sewer manholes allows for locations to be found with ease. Maintenance completed in a dry period, as well as records of any screening conducted by Public Works employees, are stored in the work order system, CityWorks. With routine maintenance and screening, debris and pollutants are removed from surrounding surface waterbodies.

3. Describe progress towards achieving the goal of reducing the discharge of pollutants to the MEP. If no progress was made or the BMP did not result in a reduction in pollutants, provide an explanation:

MCM	BMP	Information Used	Quantity	Units	Does the BMP Demonstrate a Direct Reduction in Pollutants? (Answer Yes or No and explain)
1	1.4 Adopt A Greenway	Volunteer Clean Up	24	39-gallon trash bags collected	Yes. Volunteers cleaned local greenways, reducing the amount of litter that could enter local water bodies.
3	3.4 Construction Site Inspections	Construction Sites	2256	Stormwater daily inspections	Yes. By inspecting the contractor-owned construction sites, we can evaluate if proper BMPs are in place to reduce sediment discharge and erosion.
5	5.9 Pesticide and Herbicide Program	City-Owned Landscaping	16 applicator licenses	Staff Certification	Yes. By ensuring staff have proper training in the application of pesticides and herbicides, pollution runoff from such chemicals is kept to a minimum.
1	1.8 Adopt-A-Street	Volunteer Clean-up	253	Trash bags collected	Yes. The City coordinated with volunteer organizations to successfully collect 511 bags of trash that could have polluted the storm sewer system and nearby creeks.

4. Provide the measurable goals for each of the MCMs, and an evaluation of the success of the implementation of the measurable goals:

MCM(s)	MEASURABLE GOAL(s)	EXPLAIN PROGRESS TOWARD GOAL OR HOW GOAL WAS ACHIEVED. IF GOAL WAS NOT ACCOMPLISHED, PLEASE EXPLAIN
MCM 1	1.1.5 Document the viewing traffic count of the approved SWMP on the cstx.gov webpage each permit year.	Goal met. The SWMP was downloaded nineteen (19) times.
MCM 1	1.1.6 Publish the annual report to the cstx.gov webpage.	Goal met. The 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, and 2024 annual reports have been published on the City's website.
MCM 1	1.1.7 Document the viewing traffic count of the annual report for the respective permit year.	Goal partially met. The City's Public Communications Department does not have the ability to obtain traffic counts for each annual report on the City's webpage. However, the Stormwater Management Program page had 313 views.

MCM(s)	MEASURABLE GOAL(s)	EXPLAIN PROGRESS TOWARD GOAL OR HOW GOAL WAS ACHIEVED. IF GOAL WAS NOT ACCOMPLISHED, PLEASE EXPLAIN
MCM 1	1.1.8 Document and respond to 100% of submitted comments each permit year.	Goal met. The City did not receive any comments regarding the Stormwater Management Program.
MCM 1	1.2.1 Compose a list of stormwater quality topics specific to College Station's educational needs.	Met goal. A review of stormwater quality topics was done to keep topics relevant and current. Topics include keeping drainage ways clean, reporting illegal dumping, properly using pesticides and herbicides, properly disposing of household hazardous waste, keeping leaf litter out of storm drains, and good housekeeping on construction sites, and "Scoop the Poop".
MCM 1	1.2.2 Compose and procure information highlighting the stormwater quality items identified by City staff.	Goal partially met. The City reviewed a letter mailed to all owners of property located in the Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA). The letter
MCM 1	1.3.2 Document the traffic count for the stormwater page on the cstx.gov webpage each permit year.	Met goal. The website is maintained and current, and received three hundred forty-six (313) views.
MCM 1	1.3.3 Publish (2) stormwater topics on the City-run social media platforms each permit year.	Goal partially met. One topic was published on both the City blog and social media platforms: Proper disposal of household hazardous waste.
MCM 1	1.3.4 Publish SWMP information distributed by utility bill inserts on the City's webpage each permit year.	Goal partially met. The City is no longer sending out utility bill inserts due to budget restraints originally caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. However, SWMP information is published on the City's "Stormwater Management Program" webpage.
MCM 1	1.3.5 Run (1) stormwater quality segment on the City's cable channel beginning in permit year 2 and each permit year after.	Met Goal. Two (2) "Scoop the Poop" segments were aired on the City's Channel 19.
MCM 1	1.3.6 Restock printed copies of stormwater educational materials at 100% of identified locations and/or events.	Met goal. Educational materials were restocked at three (3) locations in the City. Several different stormwater educational publications were distributed at the TAMU Earth Day event that occurred on 4/16/2025.
MCM 1	1.4.2 Publish (1) informational article, including a link to the Don't Bag It Pamphlet on the City's blog page	Partially met goal. Instead of linking the "Don't Bag It" pamphlet on the City's blog page, the pamphlet was distributed in person at the Earth Day event located at Texas A&M University on 4/16/2025. City staff verbally educated numerous individuals

MCM(s)	MEASURABLE GOAL(s)	EXPLAIN PROGRESS TOWARD GOAL OR HOW GOAL WAS ACHIEVED. IF GOAL WAS NOT ACCOMPLISHED, PLEASE EXPLAIN
	beginning in permit year 2 and every permit year after.	about the importance of the "Don't Bag It" program while distributing the pamphlets.
MCM 1	1.5.3 Publish a one-page article on the importance of picking up pet waste in the City of College Station - *Parks & Recreation Guide* each permit year after creation.	Goal not met. Budget restrictions originally caused by the COVID-19 pandemic prevented the publication of the Parks & Recreation guides. However, a link to the "Scoop the Poop" City blog post will be included in the Recreation Connection email newsletter published by Parks & Recreation.
MCM 1	1.5.4 Maintain pet waste collection dispensers on City Parks' property by providing bags for 100% of existing dispensers each permit year.	Met goal. The Parks and Recreation Department ensured that all pet waste collection dispensers were stocked with disposable bags.
MCM 1	1.5.5 Publish (1) informational article regarding pet waste management on the City's blog page beginning in permit year 2 and each permit year after.	Goal not met. A "Scoop the Poop" blog post will be published later in 2025.
MCM 1	1.6.1 Conduct (3) irrigation workshops each permit year.	Goal not met. No irrigation workshops were hosted.
MCM 1	1.6.2 Document 100% of attendees at each irrigation workshop.	Goal not met. No irrigation workshops were hosted.
MCM 1	1.6.3 Document 100% of irrigation system checks each permit year.	Met goal. The Water Services Department completed a total of fifteen (15) irrigation system checks.
MCM 1	1.7.1 Document 100% of adopted greenway acres each permit year.	Met goal. One hundred eighty-nine (189.79) acres were under adoption by volunteers as part of the Adopt-a-Greenway (AAG) program.
MCM 1	1.7.2 Document 100% of creek miles cleaned each permit year.	Met goal. Two (2.27) miles of creek area were cleaned.
MCM 1	1.7.3 Document 100% of full bags of trash collected from the completed clean-ups each permit year.	Met goal. A total of twenty-four (24) bags of trash were collected during volunteer clean-ups from 1-1-25 to 8-18-25.
MCM 1	1.8.1 Document 100% of street mileage adopted each permit year.	Met goal. The Adopt-a-Street (AAS) program has adopted a total of seventy-eight (78.07) centerline miles.

MCM(s)	MEASURABLE GOAL(s)	EXPLAIN PROGRESS TOWARD GOAL OR HOW GOAL WAS ACHIEVED. IF GOAL WAS NOT ACCOMPLISHED, PLEASE EXPLAIN
MCM 1	1.8.2 Document 100% of adopted street mileage cleaned each year.	Met goal. The AAS program cleaned one hundred twenty-three (123.75) miles.
MCM 1	1.8.3 Document 100% of full bags of trash collected from the completed clean-ups each permit year.	Met goal. Two hundred fifty-three (253) bags of trash were collected from cleanups.
MCM 1	1.9.1 Co-host an annual meeting with Texas Stream Team volunteers.	Goal not met. The City did not meet in person with the Texas Stream Team. However, the volunteers continued to conduct their stream monitoring as usual. In addition, the City coordinated with Texas Stream Team volunteers to complete a cleanup of Gabbard and Brison Parks.
MCM 1	1.9.2 Identify areas safe for volunteers to conduct stormwater monitoring and provide those locations to the Texas Water Resource Institute (TWRI) each permit year.	Met goal. City staff and Texas Water Resource Institute (TWRI) have determined that the four (4) previously designated locations are still the most suitable and applicable to the goals of the program. Sampling data can be found on the Texas Stream Team website for sites 81263, 81262, 81264, and 81245.
MCM 1	1.9.3 Document TWRI's annual volunteer monitoring schedule for funding each permit year.	Met goal. Volunteers for the Texas Water Resource Institute (TWRI) and the Texas Master Naturalist Brazos Valley Chapter normally conduct water sampling monthly. Water samples were collected monthly at 81262 (Bee Creek Near College Station Cemetery), 81263 (Wolf Pen Creek Tributary), 81244 (Lick Creek @ Lick Creek Park, Site 1), and 81245 (Lick Creek at Lick Creek Park Site 2). Samples were collected biannually at 81264 (Bee Creek at Brison Park) and 81823 (Wolf Pen Creek @ Wolf Pen Creek Park).
MCM 2	2.1.1 Update and revise 100% of all feature datasets related to the MS4 system, surface waters, and high priority facilities when new infrastructure passes final inspections, and Letters of Completion are submitted.	Met goal. The "Storm Drainage" Feature Dataset in the Public Works Department's GIS is continuously updated/revised to show capital projects, new developments, facilities, and rehabilitated areas; TxDOT infrastructure was mapped by a contractor and is stored as a Feature Dataset.

MCM(s)	MEASURABLE GOAL(s)	EXPLAIN PROGRESS TOWARD GOAL OR HOW GOAL WAS ACHIEVED. IF GOAL WAS NOT ACCOMPLISHED, PLEASE EXPLAIN
MCM 2	2.1.2 Update and revise 100% of the sanitary sewer system GIS layers when new infrastructure passes final inspections and Letters of Completion are submitted, including identified SSOs and leaks as they are processed and tracked in City Works.	Met goal. GIS analysts employed by the Water Services Department (WSD) continuously update the GIS layers to include changes in the sanitary sewer system as new infrastructure is added and SSOs are identified. Leaks and overflows are tracked in the work order system, CityWorks. WSD can import, when needed, the locations of problematic areas, which include sanitary sewer leaks, spills, and overflows into a mapping system.
MCM 2	2.2.1 Conduct an annual stormwater quality training per respective department and document 100% of attendees each permit year.	Goal partially met. Stormwater-related training was given to nineteen (19) City employees.
MCM 2	2.3.1 Document 100% of work orders generated from the Utilities Dispatch line each permit year.	Met goal. 100% of work orders generated from the Utilities Dispatch line were documented during the permit year.
MCM 2	2.3.2 Document 100% of work orders generated from the SeeClickFix App each permit year.	Met goal. The SeeClickFix App received one thousand eight hundred thirty-nine (1,839) submissions from the public. All reports of illicit discharges and illegal dumping were investigated by City staff.
MCM 2	2.3.3 Publish (1) informational article regarding public reporting avenues on the City's blog beginning in the second permit year and every permit year after.	Goal not met. An article will be published later in 2025.
MCM 2	2.4.1 Identify 100% of City-owned high priority areas and facilities each permit year.	Met goal. The City currently has sixteen (16) high priority facilities. A list of high priority facilities is maintained and updated as identification occurs.
MCM 2	2.4.2 Inspect 100% of high priority facilities on a quarterly basis for any pollutant discharges.	Met goal. All high priority facilities are inspected on a quarterly basis. High priority facility inspection records are stored in digital and hardcopy form.

MCM(s)	MEASURABLE GOAL(s)	EXPLAIN PROGRESS TOWARD GOAL OR HOW GOAL WAS ACHIEVED. IF GOAL WAS NOT ACCOMPLISHED, PLEASE EXPLAIN
MCM 2	2.5.1 Provide 100% of requested eligibility letters identifying new, potential OSSF properties to BCHD each permit year.	Met goal. A total of twelve (12) eligibility letters were sent to residents. The City also responds to and coordinates with the Brazos County Health District and a TCEQ On-Site Sewage Facility Designated Representative, to eliminate nuisances caused by on-site sewage facilities.
MCM 2	2.6.1 Document 100% of work orders identifying sanitary sewer leaks each permit year.	Met goal. The City had seventy-five (72) sanitary sewer leak work orders. These work orders were service requests to investigate to determine whether there was a leak. The City trains employees to identify, investigate, and report through the work order system, CityWorks, for work done on sanitary sewer overflows.
MCM 2	2.6.2 Identify 100% of sanitary sewer leaks repaired each permit year.	Met goal. Seventy-five (72) sanitary sewer leak work orders were resolved; therefore, 100% of sanitary sewer leaks were repaired.
MCM 2	2.6.3 Document 100% of sanitary sewer Capital Improvement projects under construction each permit year.	Met goal. Five (5) Capital Improvement sanitary sewer projects were under construction during the permit interval.
MCM 2	2.6.4 Document 100% of completed Capital Improvement sanitary sewer projects each permit year.	Met goal. A total of two (2) CIP sanitary sewer projects were completed in the permit interval.
MCM 2	2.7.1 Identify 100% of SSOs repaired each permit year.	Met goal. The City identified and repaired sixteen (16) sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs) that discharged into the MS4.
MCM 2	2.7.2 Document 100% of sanitary sewer Capital Improvement projects under construction each permit year.	Met goal. Five (5) Capital Improvement (CIP) sanitary sewer projects were under construction during the permit term.
MCM 2	2.7.3 Document 100% of completed Capital Improvement sanitary sewer projects each permit year.	Met goal. A total of two (2) CIP sanitary sewer projects were completed in the permit term. Maintenance and repair of the sanitary sewer system are ongoing and done as needed.

MCM(s)	MEASURABLE GOAL(s)	EXPLAIN PROGRESS TOWARD GOAL OR HOW GOAL WAS ACHIEVED. IF GOAL WAS NOT ACCOMPLISHED, PLEASE EXPLAIN
MCM 2	2.8.1 Conduct source investigations for 100% of reported illicit discharges each permit year.	Met goal. Source investigations were completed for 100% of reported illicit discharges.
MCM 2	2.8.2 Document 100% of illicit discharges considered reportable, including 30-day close-out reports to TCEQ, each permit year.	Met goal. Sixteen (16) of the illicit discharges were considered reportable and were reported to the TCEQ. There are no known health or environmental impacts caused by the illicit discharges.
MCM 2	2.8.3 Document 100% of corrective actions taken per the respective investigation, each permit year.	Met goal. Corrective actions such as containment and remediation were completed for all illicit discharges.
MCM 2	2.8.4 Document 100% of enforcement actions taken each permit year.	Met goal. Twenty-four (24) enforcement actions were necessary for illicit discharges that occurred. This included Notice of Violation Letters and fines delivered to the responsible party.
MCM 2	2.9.1 Annually update and revise 100% of feature datasets related to the MS4 system and surface waters when new infrastructure passes final inspections and Letters of Completion are submitted.	Met goal. The “Storm Drainage” Feature Dataset in the Public Works Department’s GIS is continuously updated/revised to show capital projects, new developments, facilities, and rehabilitated areas; TxDOT infrastructure was mapped by a contractor and is stored as a Feature Dataset.
MCM 2	2.9.2 Conduct field observations for 20% of outfalls and subsequent screenings based on field observation results each permit year.	Goal partially met. Outfalls were inspected by Drainage Maintenance Division personnel as routine maintenance is being performed. Planning and Development Services will inspect 20% of outfalls in priority areas later in 2025.
MCM 2	2.10.2 Distribute HHW educational information by publishing (1) informational article on the City’s blog, (1) social media post, (1) service alert campaign in April and October every permit year.	Goal partially met. One (1) educational article was published on the City blog page. A television ad discussing the HHW event was aired on Channel 19 and made available on the City’s website. A total of four (4) social media posts were published for the HHW collection events. The City’s app “College Station Curbside” ran one (1) service alert campaign. A service alert was published in the City’s Household Waste & Recycling Guide.
MCM 2	2.10.3 Co-host (2) HHW collection events each permit year.	Goal partially met. An HHW collection event was successfully co-hosted on April 26, 2025. A second HHW collection event will take place on October 18, 2025.

MCM(s)	MEASURABLE GOAL(s)	EXPLAIN PROGRESS TOWARD GOAL OR HOW GOAL WAS ACHIEVED. IF GOAL WAS NOT ACCOMPLISHED, PLEASE EXPLAIN
MCM 2	2.11.1 Annually review 100% of Grease Trap Ordinances and related SOPs, and update outdated or incorrect procedures by the end of each permit year.	Goal not met. The Water Services Department will review the City's Grease Trap Ordinance and Grease Trap Inspection SOP later in 2025.
MCM 2	2.11.2 Provide FOG educational letters to 100% of grease trap operators each permit year.	Met goal. Letters were sent to all grease trap operators in the City. The letters encourage grease trap maintenance and inform operators of the dangers and risks of FOGs.
MCM 2	2.12.1 Schedule weekly garbage collections, publish the collection schedule on the City's webpage for 100% of customer access, and mail an updated collection guide to 100% of residential utilities customers each permit year.	Met goal. Residents can find garbage collection schedules on the City website. Instead of mailing out the Solid Waste & Recycling Guides, QR code stickers were applied to all new trash cans. The QR stickers link the user to the "College Station Curbside" app and Solid Waste & Recycling Guide.
MCM 2	2.12.2 Schedule weekly brush and bulk collections for 100% of utilities customers, publish the schedule on the City's webpage for 100% of customer access, and mail an updated collection guide to 100% of residential utilities customers each permit year.	Met goal. Residents can find the brush and bulk collection schedules on the City website. Instead of mailing out the Solid Waste & Recycling Guides, QR code stickers were applied to all new trash cans. The QR stickers link the user to the "College Station Curbside" app and Solid Waste & Recycling Guide.
MCM 2	2.12.3 Schedule bi-weekly recycling collections for 100% of utilities customers, publish the schedule on the City's webpage for 100% of customer access, and mail an updated collection guide to 100% of residential utilities customers each permit year.	Met goal. Residents can find recycling collection schedules on the City website. Instead of mailing out the Solid Waste & Recycling Guides, QR code stickers were applied to all new trash cans. The QR stickers link the user to the "College Station Curbside" app and Solid Waste & Recycling Guide.
MCM 3	3.1.1 Annually review 100% of ordinances and SOPs related to stormwater discharges for construction activities, and update outdated or incorrect procedures by the end of each permit year.	Met goal. No changes were needed for the City Code of Ordinances pertaining to stormwater-related construction regulations.

MCM(s)	MEASURABLE GOAL(s)	EXPLAIN PROGRESS TOWARD GOAL OR HOW GOAL WAS ACHIEVED. IF GOAL WAS NOT ACCOMPLISHED, PLEASE EXPLAIN
MCM 3	3.2.1 Review and provide comments for 100% of submitted plans each permit year.	Met goal. Construction plans are reviewed and recorded in Planning and Development's electronic Community Development system. One hundred thirty (130) plans were submitted and reviewed. Thirty-one (31) development permits were issued.
MCM 3	3.2.2 Review and provide comments for 100% of submitted erosion control plans each permit year.	Met goal. All erosion control plans for development permits issued were reviewed and recorded in Planning and Development's electronic Community Development system. All submittals are recorded in this system.
MCM 3	3.3.1 Require 100% of operators to abide by TPDES *Construction General Permit TXR150000* requirements to receive a City-approved development or building permit; retain 100% of approved permits.	Met goal. All projects must abide by the TPDES *Construction General Permit TXR150000* requirements to obtain a development permit. Thirty-one (31) construction projects that were reviewed were approved and issued development permits. All building and development permits are retained in the Community Development System.
MCM 3	3.3.2 Require 100% of operators to submit NOIs prior to earthwork on applicable projects, greater than or equal to 5 acres, or part of a common plan of development; retain 100% of NOIs.	Met goal. The City maintains a database of all submitted NOIs. NOIs were retained for all applicable projects.
MCM 3	3.4.1 Conduct an annual construction-related stormwater quality training and document the number of staff trained each permit year.	Met goal. A total of nineteen (19) City employees received stormwater quality training across all departments and meeting types.
MCM 3	3.4.2 Annually review 100% of construction inspection practices SOPs and update outdated or incorrect procedures by the end of each permit year.	Met goal. Both commercial and residential construction inspection SOPs were reviewed during the permit year. No changes were needed to ensure construction sites are compliant with stormwater regulations.
MCM 3	3.5.1 Inspect 100% of regulated, active construction sites each permit year.	Met goal. Inspection records are collected and stored in Planning and Development's electronic Community Development system. Two thousand two hundred fifty-six (2,256) stormwater daily inspections were completed. The City also performed two (2) Certificate of Compliance (CC) drainage inspections and fifty-eight (58) Certificate of Occupancy (CO) drainage inspections.

MCM(s)	MEASURABLE GOAL(s)	EXPLAIN PROGRESS TOWARD GOAL OR HOW GOAL WAS ACHIEVED. IF GOAL WAS NOT ACCOMPLISHED, PLEASE EXPLAIN
MCM 3	3.6.1 Document 100% of stormwater quality infractions found during the inspection process.	Met goal. Fifty-four (54) stormwater inspections had infractions during the permit year. Inspection records are collected and stored in Planning and Development's electronic Community Development system.
MCM 3	3.6.2 Document 100% of failed inspections and subsequent enforcement actions taken.	Met goal. Ten (10) failed stormwater inspections were documented during the permit year. The operator of the site of each failed inspection received a fine. One (1) stop-work order was issued after one of the failed inspections. Inspection records are collected and stored in Planning and Development's electronic Community Development system. After an initial stormwater inspection violation, the inspector speaks to the on-site lead about compliance and possible steps of enforcement, including stop-work orders. City Development engineers also relay the message to the project owner and developer.
MCM 3	3.7.1 Document and investigate 100% of complaints received regarding regulated construction activities each permit year.	Met goal. The City received and investigated all twenty-three (23) construction-related complaints. Public complaints about construction activities are recorded in the electronic Community Development system and SeeClickFix system.
MCM 3	3.8.1 Host an annual construction and stormwater quality workshop beginning in permit year 2 and every permit year after.	Goal not met. However, the City guided many different homebuilders through the stormwater compliance requirements for residential construction during the permitting process.
MCM 3	3.8.2 Compose (2) construction-related stormwater quality publications each permit year.	Goal not met. This goal will be completed at a later time in 2025. An educational booklet will be reviewed, updated, and distributed to all residential construction companies in the City. The educational booklet will provide information on properly implementing and maintaining construction site BMPs.
MCM 4	4.1.1 Annually review 100% of applicable stormwater ordinances and SOPs, and update outdated or incorrect procedures by the end of each permit year.	Partially met goal. The City is currently in the process of composing a post construction stormwater ordinance.
MCM 4	4.2.1 Document 100% of new stormwater structural controls once projects pass final inspections and Letters of Completion are submitted.	Met goal. Documentation is archived in CityWorks and in the City's permitting software.

MCM(s)	MEASURABLE GOAL(s)	EXPLAIN PROGRESS TOWARD GOAL OR HOW GOAL WAS ACHIEVED. IF GOAL WAS NOT ACCOMPLISHED, PLEASE EXPLAIN
MCM 4	4.3.1 Document and retain 100% of development permits issued each permit year.	Met goal. Thirty-one (31) development permits were issued. All permits and related information are stored in the Community Development system.
MCM 4	4.4.1 Document 100% of 1-year warranty inspections scheduled each permit year.	Met goal. Twenty-six (26) warranty inspections were performed during the permit year. Inspection records are collected and stored in Planning and Development's electronic Community Development system. Violations are noted in inspection records.
MCM 4	4.5.1 Document 100% of projects found non-compliant during the 1-year warranty inspection process each permit year.	Met goal. No projects were found to be non-compliant during warranty inspection.
MCM 4	4.5.2 Document 100% of enforcement actions taken each permit year.	Met goal. No enforcement actions were needed during the post-construction inspection process.
MCM 4	4.6.1 Annually review 100% of post-construction stormwater ordinances and SOPs; update outdated or incorrect procedures by the end of each permit year.	Partially met goal. The City is currently in the process of composing a post construction stormwater ordinance.
MCM 4	4.6.3 Compose a draft stormwater structural controls maintenance program for internal review based on field evaluations of a representative sample group of existing stormwater structural controls.	Met goal. The stormwater structural control maintenance program was reviewed and edited.
MCM 5	5.1.2 Annually update map identifying 100% of City-owned SPCC facilities; for state and federal regulatory agency review only.	Met goal. GIS layers that display City-owned SPCC facilities are current and updated. No new SPCC facilities were added this permit year.
MCM 5	5.1.3 Annually update map identifying 100% of City-owned *TXR050000 Multi-Sector General Permit* facilities; for state and federal regulatory agency review only.	Met goal. GIS layers that display the two (2) City-owned MSGP facilities are current and updated. A static map displaying these facilities was also created. No new MSGP facilities have been added this permit year.
MCM 5	5.1.4 Annually update map identifying 100% of City-owned facilities with large quantities of pollutants; for state and federal regulatory agency review only.	Met goal. A map displaying the sixteen (16) City-owned high priority facilities was created and will be updated annually.

MCM(s)	MEASURABLE GOAL(s)	EXPLAIN PROGRESS TOWARD GOAL OR HOW GOAL WAS ACHIEVED. IF GOAL WAS NOT ACCOMPLISHED, PLEASE EXPLAIN
MCM 5	5.1.5 Annually update map identifying 100% of City-owned, newly developed stormwater controls; for state and federal regulatory agency review only.	The "Storm Drainage" Feature Dataset in the Public Works Department's GIS, which includes outfall locations, is routinely updated/revised using GIS for capital projects, new developments, facilities, and rehabilitated areas.
MCM 5	5.1.6 Annually update map of areas on the herbicide and pesticide application schedule.	Met goal. The Public Works Department updates and maintains the "Landscape Maintenance" Feature Dataset, which contains the ROW, finish, and drainage mowing areas as well as the location of trees and shrubs that the City is responsible for maintaining. Parks and Recreation maintains a Feature Dataset containing park property listings with areas within the parks where herbicides and pesticides are applied. The "Annual Agreement for Citywide Landscape Maintenance and Mowing" contract contains maps illustrating the locations of neighborhood parks, buildings, electrical sites, water/wastewater sites, and some right-of-way maintained by contractors. Contract mowing areas, as well as the location of landscape and hardscape areas, requiring the application of-pesticides and herbicides by contractors, are specified in the "Annual Agreement for Citywide Landscape Maintenance and Mowing" contract.
MCM 5	5.1.7 Annually update map of City-maintained landscaped areas.	Met goal. The Public Works Department updates and maintains the "Landscape Maintenance" Feature Dataset, which contains the ROW, finish, and drainage mowing areas as well as the location of trees and shrubs that the City is responsible for maintaining. Parks and Recreation updates and maintains park property and facility listings that contain landscaping areas.
MCM 5	5.1.8 Annually update map of equipment and materials storage yards.	Met goal. City GIS analysts update the City-owned facility location map layers throughout the year. This includes various equipment and storage locations.
MCM 5	5.1.9 Annually update map of sand storage locations.	Met goal. City GIS analysts update the City-owned facility location map layers throughout the year, which include equipment and storage locations.

MCM(s)	MEASURABLE GOAL(s)	EXPLAIN PROGRESS TOWARD GOAL OR HOW GOAL WAS ACHIEVED. IF GOAL WAS NOT ACCOMPLISHED, PLEASE EXPLAIN
MCM 5	5.2.1 Inspect 100% of City-owned SPCC-regulated facilities on a monthly basis each permit year.	Met goal. All City-owned facilities with an SPCC plan were inspected monthly.
MCM 5	5.2.2 Retain and annually review 100% of City-owned facilities' SPCC plans and update outdated or incorrect literature by the end of each permit year.	Met goal. All City-owned SPCC facilities retain SPCC plans onsite and electronically. Annual reviews to update the plans were either performed during the time frame of this report or are scheduled for a later date in 2025.
MCM 5	5.3.1 Annually review 100% of City-owned facilities regulated by TXR050000 Multi-Sector General Permit, as well as determine if any new facility needs to be permitted.	Met goal. The two (2) City-owned MSGP-permitted facilities have been reviewed this permit year. No new City-owned facilities need to be permitted at this time.
MCM 5	5.3.2 Document and retain 100% of quarterly inspection records for regulated *TXR050000 Multi-Sector General Permit* facilities.	Met goal. The City currently owns and operates two (2) facilities with active MSGP permit authorization: Carter's Creek WWTP and Lick Creek WWTP. Inspection records are retained onsite and electronically by the Water Services Department and Planning and Development Services.
MCM 5	5.4.1 Annually update the City-owned ASTs and USTs inventory list.	Met goal. The City currently owns and operates one (1) AST and five (5) USTs.
MCM 5	5.4.2 Annually update a map locating 100% of City-owned ASTs and USTs.	Met goal. There is one (1) City-owned AST. ASTs are represented in the City's GIS system, which is updated throughout the year as installation, removal, or abandonment occurs.
MCM 5	5.4.3 Annually review 100% of registration and inspection records for City-owned ASTs and USTs.	Met goal. A list of ASTs and USTs is maintained and updated as installation, removal, or abandon-in-place activities occur. Annual self-certification, registration, inspections, and delivery certificates are all current and in compliance with TCEQ-PST requirements.
MCM 5	5.4.4 Conduct an annual comprehensive inspection to ensure no leaks or integrity issues on the tanks.	Met goal. Leak line testing and inspections were completed in March of 2025.

MCM(s)	MEASURABLE GOAL(s)	EXPLAIN PROGRESS TOWARD GOAL OR HOW GOAL WAS ACHIEVED. IF GOAL WAS NOT ACCOMPLISHED, PLEASE EXPLAIN
MCM 5	5.5.1 Annually review 100% of potential pollutants inventory lists and update outdated or incorrect inventory by the end of each permit year for each applicable facility.	Goal not met. The potential pollutant inventory list will be reviewed later in 2025.
MCM 5	5.5.2 Annually review 100% of O&M procedures and update outdated or incorrect procedures by the end of each permit year for each applicable facility.	Goal not met. Operation & Maintenance procedures that are relevant to stormwater discharges will be reviewed by various departments for their specific sites later in 2025.
MCM 5	5.5.3 Conduct an annual pollutant inventory inspection each permit year for each applicable facility.	Met goal. Potential pollutants have been identified for the Public Works Operations facility, Parks and Recreation's equipment and materials storage facilities, and CSU's Service Center and substations. These sites are routinely inspected, and procedures are in place in case of a spill event.
MCM 5	5.6.3 Annually review 100% of SOPs or SWPPPs respective to each City-owned high priority facility and update outdated or incorrect procedures by the end of each permit year.	Met goal. Two (2) MSGP facilities utilize SWP3s as their stormwater management SOP. The remaining fourteen (14) high priority facilities utilize SPCC plans for their stormwater management SOPs. All SPCC plans for high priority facilities are annually reviewed and revised as needed.
MCM 5	5.7.2 Conduct an annual good housekeeping inspection for each applicable facility.	Met goal. An inspection that verified good housekeeping was performed at each high priority facility.
MCM 5	5.7.3 Document 100% of recommendations made each permit year for each applicable facility.	Met goal. All recommendations were documented.
MCM 5	5.8.1 Conduct an annual stormwater training regarding prevention and good housekeeping of municipal operations, per respective department, each permit year.	Met goal. The following departments participated in pollution prevention and good housekeeping training: the Water Services Department and Public Works.

MCM(s)	MEASURABLE GOAL(s)	EXPLAIN PROGRESS TOWARD GOAL OR HOW GOAL WAS ACHIEVED. IF GOAL WAS NOT ACCOMPLISHED, PLEASE EXPLAIN
MCM 5	5.8.2 Document 100% of personnel trained, per respective department, each permit year.	Met goal. The Water Services Department and Public Works had nine (9) and ten (10) employees, respectively, in their annual training on stormwater pollution prevention and good housekeeping.
MCM 5	5.9.1 Conduct an annual application practices training each permit year.	Met goal. Licensed applicators of pesticides and herbicides receive application practices training during continuing education classes. Public Works and Parks and Recreation completed continuing education for four (4) and twelve (12) licensed employees, respectively.
MCM 5	5.9.2 Maintain 100% of applicators' licenses each permit year.	Met goal. All sixteen (16) licensed applicators maintained their certifications
MCM 5	5.9.3 Document acreage of 100% of City-owned areas with applied pesticides and herbicides each permit year.	Met goal. Herbicides and pesticides were applied at City-owned properties that totaled three hundred twenty-eight (328) acres.
MCM 5	5.10.1 Annually review 100% of waste disposal procedures and update outdated or incorrect procedures by the end of each permit year.	Goal not met. Public Works is scheduled to review the procedures in December 2025.
MCM 5	5.10.2 Annually sweep 100% of City streets each permit year.	Met goal. A total of one thousand five hundred forty-nine (1,549.1) miles were swept. TxDOT and City-maintained streets were swept in a twelve (12) week rotation schedule, with certain streets being swept with more frequency.
MCM 5	5.10.3 Annually sweep 100% of City-maintained parking lots each permit year.	Goal not met. None of the City-owned parking lot areas were maintained due to a staffing shortage. Parking Lots have been mapped and stored as a Feature Class in the Public Works and Parks and Recreation Departments' GIS system.
MCM 5	5.10.4 Document 100% of street maintenance projects completed each permit year.	Met goal. The City's Street Maintenance team completed one hundred fifteen (115) work orders.

MCM(s)	MEASURABLE GOAL(s)	EXPLAIN PROGRESS TOWARD GOAL OR HOW GOAL WAS ACHIEVED. IF GOAL WAS NOT ACCOMPLISHED, PLEASE EXPLAIN
MCM 5	5.11.1 Annually update 100% of City-owned vehicle inventory each permit year.	Met goal. The City's fleet total is four hundred fifty-seven (466) vehicles.
MCM 5	5.11.2 Document and retain 100% of City-owned vehicle maintenance inspections conducted each permit year.	Met goal. Two hundred twenty (220) vehicle maintenance inspections were performed. Inspection records are maintained in the Planning and Development Services database.
MCM 5	5.11.3 Conduct monthly vehicle wash rack perimeter checks.	Met goal. Public Works performs monthly vehicle wash rack perimeter checks during SPCC inspections.
MCM 5	5.12.1 Document 100% of City-owned storm inlet, junction box, and catch basin work order requests submitted in CityWorks each permit year.	Met goal. The City had eighty (80) storm system work order requests.
MCM 5	5.12.2 Document 100% of City-owned storm inlets, junction boxes, and catch basin work orders completed each permit year.	Met goal. The City completed one hundred forty-seven (147) work orders to maintain stormwater infrastructure.

C. Stormwater Data Summary

Provide a summary of all information used, including any lab results (if sampling was conducted), to assess the success of the SWMP at reducing the discharge of pollutants to the MEP. For example, did the MS4 conduct visual inspections, clean the inlets, look for illicit discharge, clean streets, look for flow during dry weather, etc.?

City of College Station Planning and Development Services staff routinely look for surface flows during dry weather and conduct outfall inspections annually. The Drainage Maintenance Division personnel also inspect outfalls as routine maintenance is being performed. This allows for the detection of possible issues, including sanitary sewer leaks, illicit discharges, or illegal dumping. The City also sweeps TxDOT and City-owned streets every twelve (12) weeks.

The City of College Station used select waterway data collected by the volunteer group, Texas Master Naturalist Brazos Valley Chapter (TMNBV). Sampling data can be found on the Texas Stream Team website for sites 81263, 81262, 81264, 81245, 81244, and 81823. Results from individual sites are used to monitor creek health and can serve as indicators of possible illicit discharges or SSOs.

D. Impaired Waterbodies

1. Identify whether an impaired water within the permitted area was added to the latest EPA-approved 303(d) list or the Texas Integrated Report of Surface Water Quality for CWA Sections 305(b) and

303(d). List any newly identified impaired waters below by including the name of the water body and the cause of impairment.

No new impaired waters within city limits were added to the latest 303(d) list or the Texas Integrated Report of Surface Water Quality for CWA Sections 305(b) and 303(d). Previously listed impaired waters, Carters Creek and Burton Creek, remain on the 2024 Texas Integrated Report – Index of Water Quality of Impairments for bacteria in water. The City still carries out best management practices as assigned from the Implementation Plan for Three Total Maximum Daily Loads for Indicator Bacteria in the Carters Creek Watershed.

2. If applicable, explain below any activities taken to address the discharge to impaired waterbodies, including any sampling results and a summary of the small MS4's BMPs used to address the pollutant of concern.

The City of College utilized waterway data collected by the Texas Master Naturalist Brazos Valley Chapter (TMNBV), a volunteer group. Data from sampling in 2025 can be found on the Texas Stream Team website for sites 81263, 81262, 81264, 81245, 81244, and 81823. Results from individual sites are used to monitor creek health and can serve as indicators of possible illicit discharges or SSOs.

Best management practices were implemented to increase public awareness of bacterial pollution and ways to reduce it. The City also publicizes a hotline number for citizens to report illegal discharge/dumping and organizes volunteer cleanups through the Adopt-A-Street program.

The Water Services Department (WSD) personnel conducted video scoping in department-chosen pipelines in various areas throughout the City to detect possible sanitary sewer leaks and other problems. Corrective actions and improvements reduced areas susceptible to overflows. Repairs and remediation were performed by WSD for sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs) that occurred on public wastewater lines.

Planning and Development Services investigated and provided enforcement for the illicit discharges that weren't managed by WSD. This included requiring the responsible parties to implement containment measures and to remediate affected areas to their pre-existing condition.

3. Describe the implementation of targeted controls if the small MS4 discharges to an impaired water body with an approved TMDL.

Targeted controls focused on reducing the pollution that can enter local waterways. This was primarily achieved through publicizing outlets to encourage public reporting of illegal dumping and discharging; identifying SSOs on private property and providing enforcement to correct them; and repairing public sanitary sewer pipes to eliminate sanitary sewer overflow and leaks.

The City continued its efforts to reduce the amount of pet waste polluting stormwater runoff through the Scoop the Poop program. An educational pamphlet to inform the public and raise awareness of the concern was distributed at the 2025 Texas A&M University Earth Day event. Also, the Parks and Recreation Department ensures that disposal bags are continuously restocked at pet waste stations throughout the City.

4. Report the benchmark identified by the MS4 and assessment activities:

Benchmark Parameter	Benchmark Value	Description of additional sampling or other assessment activities	Year(s) conducted
E. Coli	Criterion = 126 MPN/ 100mL	No additional assessment activities by the City of College Station occurred this year.	2020 - 2025

5. Provide an analysis of how the selected BMPs will be effective in contributing to achieving the benchmark:

Benchmark Parameter	Selected BMP	Contribution to achieving Benchmark
E. Coli	Adopt-A-Street / Adopt-A-Greenway	Remove litter items from roads and greenways that may enter water bodies and increase E. coli amounts.
E. Coli	Eliminate sanitary sewer overflow	Waste Infrastructure Capital Improvement Projects continue. Repair and maintenance of sanitary sewer lines reduces the chances of leaks or overflow entering waterbodies.
E. Coli	Source Investigation and Elimination of Illicit Discharges	Identifying illicit discharges that originate from private sources and providing enforcement to ensure the discharges are corrected will reduce the *E. Coli* count in local waterways.
E. Coli	Public reporting of illicit discharge/illegal dumping	The public can report areas where illicit discharges or illegal dumping are occurring that may otherwise go unnoticed.
E. Coli	Public Education	Educate the public on pollutants of concern and how to reduce runoff pollution.

6. If applicable, report on focused BMPs to address impairment for bacteria:

Description of bacteria-focused BMP	Comments/Discussion
Volunteer Monitoring	Volunteers participating with the Texas Stream Team assist the City with detecting illicit discharges. They visually check the health of waterways, inspect outfalls, and provide regular E. Coli counts at designated areas to evaluate the efforts of reducing impairment.
Scoop the Poop	Parks and Recreation maintained pet waste disposal stations throughout the City, ensuring each station was fully stocked with disposal bags. A Scoop the Poop pamphlet was distributed, and multiple informational segments were aired on Channel 19.
Educational Materials & Outreach	Fifteen (15) irrigation checkups were directed by WSD. Participants of this program learned about controlling irrigation runoff and what items should be kept out of both sanitary and storm sewer systems.
Elimination of Sewer System and Gray Water Discharge / Eliminate Sanitary Sewer Overflow	Capital Improvement Projects (CIP) continues to complete sanitary sewer projects. Repair and maintenance of sanitary sewer lines reduces the chances of leaks or overflow entering waterbodies.

7. Assess the progress to determine BMP's effectiveness in achieving the benchmark.

Benchmark Indicator	Description/Comments
Increase in public reporting of illicit discharges	Volunteer monitoring and public outreach aim to increase citizen awareness of potential pollutants in waterways. Fifty-four (54) illicit discharges, potential illicit discharges, and illegal dumping incidents were reported by the public and investigated by City staff in 2024.
Continue pet waste educational public outreach	The City of College Station has increased public awareness of pet waste pollution in surface waters. "Scoop the Poop" brochures were disseminated at Texas A&M's Earth Day event. A related blog post will be published later in 2025.
Reductions in sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs)	Capital Improvement Projects (CIP) continues to complete sanitary sewer projects. The City conducted repairs to sanitary sewer pipes to reduce potential SSOs. A total of sixteen (16) SSOs from public lines entered or threatened to enter the MS4. All these SSOs were corrected.

E. Stormwater Activities

Describe activities planned for the next reporting year:

No additional activities are planned other than those described in the SWMP.

F. SWMP Modifications

1. The SWMP and MCM implementation procedures are reviewed each year.
__X_Yes___No

2. Changes have been made or are proposed to the SWMP since the NOI or the last annual report, including changes in response to TCEQ's review.
___Yes__X_No
If "Yes," report on changes made to measurable goals and BMPs:

3. Explain additional changes or proposed changes not previously mentioned (i.e., dates, contacts, procedures, annexation of land, etc.).

No additional changes or proposed changes at this time.

G. Additional BMPs for TMDLs and I-Plans

Provide a description and schedule for implementation of additional BMPs that may be necessary, based on monitoring results, to ensure compliance with applicable TMDLs and implementation plans.

No additional BMPs are considered at this time.

H. Additional Information

1. Is the permittee relying on another entity to satisfy any permit obligations?
__X__ Yes ___ No
If "Yes," provide the name(s) of other entities and an explanation of their responsibilities (add more spaces or pages if needed).

Name and Explanation: The Texas Water Resources Institute (TWRI) leads the TMDL I-Plan that the City of College Station participates in. A TWRI representative reports TMDL data to the I-Plan team, which consists of several local entities. The team then assesses the area affected and possible sources. Based on location, members of the team may need to assess their responsible area for possible leaks or causes.

Name and Explanation: Texas Stream Team/Texas Master Naturalist Brazos Valley Chapter. The City of College Station and BVMN are currently working together on water monitoring. BVMN does the fieldwork of collecting samples and reporting the data to the Texas Stream Team. The City of College Station then evaluates information for trouble spots and possible causes.

2.a. Is the permittee part of a group sharing a SWMP with other entities?
___ Yes _X_ No

2.b. If "yes," is this a system-wide annual report including information for all permittees?
___ Yes ___ No _X_Not Applicable
If "Yes," list all associated authorization numbers, permittee names, and SWMP responsibilities of each member (add additional spaces or pages if needed):
Authorization Number: _____ Permittee:_____

I. Construction Activities

1. The number of construction activities that occurred in the jurisdictional area of the MS4 (Large and Small Site Notices submitted by construction site operators):
___77_____

2a. Does the permittee utilize the optional seventh MCM related to construction?
___ Yes __X_ No

2b. If "yes," then provide the following information for this permit year:

The number of municipal construction activities authorized under this general permit	Not Applicable
The total number of acres disturbed for municipal construction projects	Not Applicable

J. Certification

If this is this a system-wide annual report including information for all permittees, each permittee shall sign and certify the annual report in accordance with 30 TAC §305.128 (relating to Signatories to Reports).
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Name (printed): Bryan Woods Title: City Manager

Signature:  Date: 11/18/25

Name of MS4 City of College Station